

Re: Another OA Reflector

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.astro.amateur/2004-10/1052.html>

From: Mike Fitterman (*cutshot_at_charter.net*)

Date: 10/08/04

Date: Fri, 08 Oct 2004 22:13:41 GMT

"Jon Isaacs" <jonisaacs@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20041008084028.22420.00000387@mb-m06.aol.com...
> >A well executed 3.6" OA should be stiff competition for a 100mm apo on a
per
> >unit of magnification basis. Any marginal gain in brightness with the
> >additional 10mm aperture, and any gain in contrast owing to an apo
lens...
>
> You make a nice argument that the 91mm scope should not be too baldly
> outclassed by the 101mm APO. But your friend Mike went a bit further than
that
> in his statement....
>
> Consider the context of what is going on here. Ratboy was feeding your
friend
> Mike some of his own goop. Mike posted the following:
>
> "DGM's 3.6 OA actually does quite well despite it's small size. It's
> brightness and contrast was equal or better than in all cases to a TV101.
> Not nearly as wide field of view though "

I should have added to the objects I viewed both at high power.

Mike.