

sci.astro.amateur: Re: I'm disappointed, why would Tom Back say this?

## Re: I'm disappointed, why would Tom Back say this?

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.astro.amateur/2004-10/1996.html>

---

**From:** CHASLX200 (*chaslx200\_at\_aol.com*)

**Date:** 10/15/04

Date: 15 Oct 2004 12:59:04 GMT

>*Subject: Re: I'm disappointed, why would Tom Back say this?*  
>*From: clydec@nyc.rr.com (clyde crewey)*  
>*Date: 10/15/2004 8:29 AM Eastern Daylight Time*  
>*Message-id: <dd630fb7.0410150429.57cd6ae4@posting.google.com*

>  
>*Tom,*  
>  
>*If that's how you really feel, fine. Please don't say I brought this*  
>*subject up. A poster said out of the blue on the Astromart equipment*  
>*forum that you had said on the TMB board that the Type 2's were a copy*  
>*of the Meade's and that further, you didn't think much of the Type*  
>*2's. I at first thought he was mis-reading you and said so, but he*  
>*insisted and provided the link. So, you see the impression is out*  
>*there. I didn't give anyone the impression, that impression was*  
>*formed solely by reading your post on the TMB board, which I'll*  
>*provide in another post here. But I don't know why you wouldn't*  
>*assume that I haven't been speaking with the Naglers about this issue.*  
>*I assure you that they are neither upset with me, nor do they think*  
>*I'm making a mountain out of a molehill.*

>  
>*Clyde*

\*\*\*\*\*

Who really cares! I love Televue eyep's and also like the Meade UWA's and WF's.

Dont matter who copied who, just enjoy them!!!

Chas P.