

sci.astro.amateur: Re: so called new approach to design doublet APOs. ;>)

## Re: so called new approach to design doublet APOs. ;>)

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.astro.amateur/2004-11/3501.html>

---

*From:* Jon Isaacs ([jonisaacs\\_at\\_aol.com](mailto:jonisaacs_at_aol.com))

*Date:* 11/22/04

Date: 22 Nov 2004 14:47:36 GMT

*>I am extremely sorry I got involved with this. I did not agree to hold  
>back on this scope, but the semi-apo. How many times must I say  
>this. The objective in this scope IS NOT A PROTOTYPE. It is  
>production. The lens cell on the scope I have is the prototype, not  
>the objective. Bill had them test the first five scopes on an  
interferometer.  
>All 5 passed the test with flying colors, and were essentially carbon copies  
of each other.*

Tom:

I am sorry you are upset by my comments but in the interests of everyone involved I feel that I have addressed important issues.

Whether the cell, the objective, tube itself is "production" or "prototype", it seems apparent to me that the scope you tested does not seem to be true "production scope." I don't see how this can be anything but a "Prototype" with a Prototype cell and non-standard OTA.

Whether or not you or anyone promised anyone not to publish reports until the production items are available is not the important issue in my view.

The important issue is that BO has gotten itself into trouble doing this in the past and the lesson to be learned, which I thought had been learned, was that keeping one's mouth shut until the final product was available was the wisest course of action.

Jon Isaacs

Re: so called new approach to design doublet APOs. ;>)