

Re: 18mm Radian question, variation on a theme!

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.astro.amateur/2004-12/2429.html>

From: RichA (*none_at_none.com*)

Date: 12/12/04

Date: Sun, 12 Dec 2004 12:18:16 -0500

On Sun, 12 Dec 2004 13:13:33 GMT, Larry Stedman
<stedman@binghamton.edu> wrote:

>Hmmm... 14mm UW is intriguing.
>
>Not to hijack my own thread, but what are its length & weight vs. the 3"
>and < 8 ounces of the 18mm Radian?
>
>How about eye relief?
>
>buytelescopes.com shows it as 17.6mm which could work with eyeglasses,
>but astronomicals has it at 15mm which probably wouldn't.
>
>I also wonder if it would put any downward pressure on the Radian
>prices...
>
>Larry Stedman
>Vestal

They will put downward pressure on Naglers and Panoptics if they are any good. They are so radically cheaper than the current Meade UWs, I'm wondering.
-Rich