

## Re: Speaking of Ritchey–Chretien Scopes

**Source:** <http://sci.tech–archive.net/Archive/sci.astro.amateur/2005–01/1854.html>

---

**From:** Hilton Evans ([hiltonevans\\_at\\_dont\\_spam\\_me.net](mailto:hiltonevans_at_dont_spam_me.net))

**Date:** 01/10/05

Date: Mon, 10 Jan 2005 11:24:53 GMT

"John Savard" <[jsavard@excn.aNOSPAMb.cdn.invalid](mailto:jsavard@excn.aNOSPAMb.cdn.invalid)> wrote in message  
news:41e1d7cf.6215482@news.ecn.ab.ca...

> *On Sun, 09 Jan 2005 22:35:05 GMT, "Hilton Evans"*

> *<[hiltonevans@dont\\_spam\\_me.net](mailto:hiltonevans@dont_spam_me.net)> wrote, in part:*

>

>> *I think that's why I put \*unsuccessfully\* in parentheses*

>> *above. My question was might it have made sense for*

>> *Criterion, or some other manufacturer, to try to compete*

>> *with Celestron with an "easier" design.*

>

> *The Meade RCX400 hardly qualifies as easier, and the same goes for a*

> *straight Ritchey–Chretien.*

<snip >

Thanks again for the explanations.

--

Hilton Evans

-----  
Lon -71° 04' 35.3"

Lat +42° 11' 06.7"

-----  
Webcam Astroimaging

<http://home.earthlink.net/~hiltonevans/astroimaging/astroimaging.htm>

-----  
ChemPen Chemical Structure Software

<http://www.chempensoftware.com>