

## Re: MEMO to Meade: How to write an honest news release

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.astro.amateur/2005-02/0635.html>

---

**From:** Uncle Bob (*realonespam\_at\_bogusnet.net*)

**Date:** 02/03/05

Date: Thu, 03 Feb 2005 10:49:44 -0800

RichA wrote:

> On 1 Feb 2005 21:52:42 -0800, AFM@andersonsoftware.ca wrote:  
>  
>  
>>It was a rhetorical question Roland. The point was there is more than  
>>one way to make a mak. They are all maks. I agree Meade should put a  
>>modifier on the end but they are not entirely out of line in calling  
>>this telescope a Richey.  
>>If on the other hand it does not use two hyperboloid mirrors then you  
>>are correct and I completely agree.  
>>Ian Anderson  
>>www.customopticalsystems.com  
>  
>  
> The only people effected by Meade using the term, R-C are those who  
> dislike Meade. If the scope offers the same level of correction  
> as and R-C, does it really matter what it's called? If they were  
> trying to pawn-off one of their standard SCTs, that would be  
> different. Take a look at eyepieces to see where the idea of  
> "creative" uses of proper names has happened.  
> -Rich

Yes, let us not consider the issue, but rather those who raise the issue. That way we can have a nice discussion about personalities, instead of principles. It's a nice hook to pull the discussion off track. I say blame the media. Making a telescope is hard work. Why do these people hate freedom?

Uncle Bob