

Re: Google Groups Changes?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.astro.amateur/2005-02/0636.html>

From: Daniel Joseph Min (*Real.Min_at_Colorado.USA*)

Date: 02/04/05

Date: 4 Feb 2005 02:44:00 -0000

On Thu 3 Feb 2005, "Peter van der Goes" wrote:

>*In the fairly recent past, the way Google Groups works seems to have been*
>*changed, reducing the utility from very good to almost nil.*
><snippity>
>*Is/was there some information provided that will return Google*
>*Groups to it's former usefulness?*

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----

IN STARK CLARITY, in truth, and by way of demonstrable facts, Google intentionally and with malice of forethought censored and obfuscated the ever-burgeoning public newsgroup archives specifically for *political* reasons. Consider these facts...

"Google" is owned and operated by left-wing liberals, with even the likes of the bitterly-disenfranchised former Vice-President AL GORE among Google's left-wing Senior Advisors. Google's co-founders Larry Page and Sergey Brin are plainly motivated by their reprehensibly left-wing liberal bias and post-election desperation--given that the liberals no longer wield much if any influence on Capitol Hill, whereas moderate Democrats are now well-motivated to distance themselves from the inviably-radical left-wing extremists like Kerry, Kennedy, Boxer et al lunatic fringe terrorist-supporting Bush-bashers, to date, barely clinging to power in the abjectly-failed DNC.

Even though the liberals have NO future in American politics, they're not about to go completely down the tubes without one last-ditch effort to dissuade their powerless ever-dwindling voter-base from jumping the sinking liberal ship, their lost cause which is hemorrhaging both internally -and- externally, daily losing support particularly from the moderate Democrats who've realized that the liberals have hijacked their party, especially since November 7, 2000 and November 2, 2004 which proved irrefutably that ALL liberals are only self-defeating pessimists who have hopelessly alienated themselves from the hopeful and optimistic (mainstream) American political arena.

sci.astro.amateur: Re: Google Groups Changes?

Thus we see that Google's mediievally–draconian post–election suppression campaign against the more than a quarter–century old newsgroup archives was carefully planned and implemented circa December 1, 2004 when their so–called "beta" kicked in, forcing all local Google "Groups" domains to censor archived content across–the–board! Let's closely examine some of the most obvious and egregious changes to local "Groups" domains since about one month to–the–day after the left–wing liberals were hammered in the most important election in human history...

- 1) All embedded Google–archived links, message id's and email addys are now totally *broken*. For example, compare these three links to the SAME archived message i.d., paying close attention to how Google's beta breaks all aforesated links and truncates any longer messages, plus forces all messages to appear in non–mono–spaced text—thereby further munging and obfuscating messages originally intended to be viewed in mono–spaced text, e.g. charts, ascii art, text–justified paragraphs, etc. Try it. ANYONE can see this for themselves:

<http://www.google.co.in/groups?selm=5d24b5eaa007da8b663168f90ea8a915@dizum.com>
<http://www.google.co.uk/groups?selm=5d24b5eaa007da8b663168f90ea8a915@dizum.com>
<http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=5d24b5eaa007da8b663168f90ea8a915@dizum.com>

Even the original format of all archived messages now show all embedded links, message id's and email addys as broken by a "...". Click "show options" then click "Show original" and you'll see that Google is DEFINITELY censoring content on local–domain Google "Groups" servers...ask yourself Why? Like I said, it is specifically engineered by the liberals in their post–election desperation in some vain and foolish attempt to delay their rapid demise and destiny in Hellfire. Censoring the archives will stop neither. The liberals have sealed their doom. They're destined for *global* Extinction.

- 2) All archived PGP–clearsigned messages on every local domain Google "Groups" server can no longer be verified either as "good" –AND– neither can they be proven "bad", by contrast. Thus ANY archived newsgroup message can now be either truly or else falsely attributed to anyone without recourse. This renders the newsgroup archives on local domains useless and unverifiable. Doubtless because knowledge is power, and the archives are replete with a great deal of knowledge posted from all over the free world, with messages dating back to 1981—and some messages rumored to be post–dated from 1979, this is why Google has censored the archives, because they transparently betray the liberals' abject incompetence and dishonesty. Liberals have long–since lost all credibility, as even a cursory search of the newsgroup archives proves. Truth is the liberals' greatest enemy. Liberals HATE truth, which clearly explains *why* Google censored the archives.

sci.astro.amateur: Re: Google Groups Changes?

3) While the foreign-domain back-door to the Google archives still functions at this writing (February 3, 2005), there can be little doubt that Google's censorship programmers are working overtime to "correct" their little oversight. So, until the liberal nutjobs at Google finish what they started, specifically by disabling foreign-domain access to the pre-election style ergo uncensored archives, the savvy newsgroup user can still browse through archived newsgroup messages in their pristine format by applying a little extra elbow grease. For example, the user can manually highlight, copy and paste any archived message ID after any functional foreign-domain Google "Groups" server, such as <http://www.google.co.in/groups?selm=> in India, or <http://www.google.co.uk/groups?selm=> in the UK, or any other foreign-domain Google server, and gain access to the *uncensored* version of the archives.

Users can also search through the uncensored archives via any foreign-domain advanced search feature, e.g.:

http://www.google.co.in/advanced_group_search
http://www.google.co.uk/advanced_group_search

And there are other post-election information-suppression and obfuscation tactics being employed by the hopelessly-disenfranchised liberals at Google and by other similarly-maladroit left-wing desperados, but it no longer matters what they do. They LOST control of the federal government. And the few moderate Democrats seated in Congress will be voting in favor of Bush's rock-solid Republican *MANDATE* with each Republican-sponsored bill that comes to a vote, in effect superseding the threatened liberal filibusters.

This is because the moderates know what's good for them, now that the Democrat party has been reduced to rubble by the liberal lunatics therein who'd hijacked it and ruined it for the foreseeable future. So moderates MUST cooperate with our dominating Republican majority if they expect to gain ANY bi-partisan cooperation or concessions in return. Meanwhile, NO liberal-sponsored legislation will be heard by the Republican majority. The liberals have wholly lost ANY meaningful voice in American politics & that's a fact.

IN CONCLUSION, it is irrefutable that Google censored the newsgroup archives owing to their indefensibly-treasonous self-destructive Anti-American vitriol and incurable post-election socio-political and religious inviability. PERIOD.

Enjoy!

Daniel Joseph Min

<http://www.google.co.in/groups?selm=XJBDEJF138262.9022453704@anonymous.poster>

Re: Google Groups Changes?

sci.astro.amateur: Re: Google Groups Changes?

<http://www.google.co.uk/groups?selm=XJBDEJF138262.9022453704@anonymous.poster>

<http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=XJBDEJF138262.9022453704@anonymous.poster>

http://www.geocities.com/daniel_joseph_min

<http://pgp.mit.edu:11371/pks/lookup?op=get&search=0x2B1CCFE7>

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----

iQA/AwUBQg LZgZljD7YrHM/nEQI7NACeN3nyCJC//b1NjDPrijhepl8/5q1wAoPKD

38if3US/W0E3Eu2TL2LJmCKy

=FXGT

-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----