

# Re: Galactic pancake mystery solved

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.astro.amateur/2005-04/msg00838.html>

---

- *From:* Llanzlan Klazmon <[Klazmon@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:Klazmon@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* 8 Apr 2005 11:44:12 +1200
- 

Tim Killian <[TJK@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:TJK@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote in  
news: [P2dndbSzZuXIcJfRVn-qw@xxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:P2dndbSzZuXIcJfRVn-qw@xxxxxxxxxxxx):

> Yes, there's nothing like using a naive, first-order model in a  
> computer simulation to to validate another unexplained and  
> unobservable phenomenon.  
>

1. How do you know the that the model they used was naive?
2. The phenomenon they were attempting to model was certainly observed.  
Otherwise why would they be attempting to explain it.

> Modern cosmology (in the guise of science) marches on -- LOL!  
>

What do you suggest they do? Give up and say this stuff can never be  
understood?

Klazmon.

>  
> shneor wrote:  
>> <http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/science/nature/4422555.stm>  
>>  
>> Shneor  
>>  
>  
>

.

---

- *Follow-Ups:*
  - ◆ [\*Re: Galactic pancake mystery solved\*](#)

Re: Galactic pancake mystery solved

◇ *From:* Tim Killian

◆ ***Re: Galactic pancake mystery solved***

◇ *From:* Brian Tung

• **References:**

◆ ***Galactic pancake mystery solved***

◇ *From:* shneor

◆ ***Re: Galactic pancake mystery solved***

◇ *From:* Tim Killian

- Prev by Date: ***Re: Galactic pancake mystery solved***
- Next by Date: ***Re: Galactic pancake mystery solved***
- Previous by thread: ***Re: Galactic pancake mystery solved***
- Next by thread: ***Re: Galactic pancake mystery solved***
- Index(es):
  - ◆ ***Date***
  - ◆ ***Thread***