

## Re: tak quality SCT?

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.astro.amateur/2005-05/msg00938.html>

---

- *From:* "Rank Amateur" <xxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Sat, 14 May 2005 02:57:17 GMT
- 

Current reports from numerous Takahashi TOA-130 owners state that their scopes are AT LEAST as good as Astro-Physics scopes. Some of these owners also own AP refractors, so they have no reason to be biased. Check out the Yahoo Takahashi and Refractors Groups, as well as the Astromart Forums.

I've read a great deal about the TOA-130, and ALL indications are that it is AT LEAST as good as the AP130. I'd love to own either scope.

I no longer believe the claims that AP is superior to Takahashi or TEC or TMB. Maybe that used to be the case, but it doesn't appear to be true now.

Bill G.

"RichA" <none@xxxxxxx> wrote in message  
<news:hhca815qr398f615nonfm4143mthtd4ij1@xxxxxxxxxx>  
> On Fri, 13 May 2005 17:13:45 GMT, "Ed T" <reply@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:  
>  
>>  
>>"RichA" <none@xxxxxxx> wrote in message  
>>> I'd go measure the wavefronts in a few Tak refractors (modern ones)  
>>> before thinking SCTs aren't as good optically.  
>>> -Rich  
>>  
>>Why? Have you seen a lemon?  
>>  
>>Ed T.  
>>  
>  
> No lemons, but don't expect 1/10th wave like an AP refractor.  
> -Rich  
>

- **References:**

- ◆ **[tak quality SCT?](#)**
  - ◇ *From:* alan\_tolmek
- ◆ **[Re: tak quality SCT?](#)**
  - ◇ *From:* Chris L Peterson
- ◆ **[Re: tak quality SCT?](#)**
  - ◇ *From:* William McHale
- ◆ **[Re: tak quality SCT?](#)**
  - ◇ *From:* RichA
- ◆ **[Re: tak quality SCT?](#)**
  - ◇ *From:* Ed T
- ◆ **[Re: tak quality SCT?](#)**
  - ◇ *From:* RichA

- Prev by Date: **[Re: tak quality SCT?](#)**
- Next by Date: **[Re: tak quality SCT?](#)**
- Previous by thread: **[Re: tak quality SCT?](#)**
- Next by thread: **[Re: tak quality SCT?](#)**
- Index(es):
  - ◆ **[Date](#)**
  - ◆ **[Thread](#)**