

# Re: The big bang theory [ The Red Shift ]

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.astro.amateur/2005-06/msg01589.html>

---

- *From:* Ralph Hertle <[ralph.hertle@xxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:ralph.hertle@xxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Tue, 21 Jun 2005 02:58:01 GMT
- 

Sam:

Sam Wormley wrote:

No Center  
<http://www.astro.ucla.edu/~wright/nocenter.html>

Also see Ned Wright's Cosmology Tutorial  
<http://www.astro.ucla.edu/~wright/cosmolog.htm>  
[http://www.astro.ucla.edu/~wright/cosmology\\_faq.html](http://www.astro.ucla.edu/~wright/cosmology_faq.html)

WMAP: Foundations of the Big Bang theory  
[http://map.gsfc.nasa.gov/m\\_uni.html](http://map.gsfc.nasa.gov/m_uni.html)

WMAP: Tests of Big Bang Cosmology  
[http://map.gsfc.nasa.gov/m\\_uni/uni\\_101bbtest.html](http://map.gsfc.nasa.gov/m_uni/uni_101bbtest.html)

The battle of hydrogen and Euclid's extended lines is on:

The point made by NASA in the article, "uni\_101bbtest.html", says that cosmic microwave background radiation was evidence of the BB.

The discoveries of the ESA space vehicle say otherwise: that the cosmic microwave background radiation was caused by the reduction of the energy of photons due to collisions of the photons and hydrogen molecules.

Re: The big bang theory [ The Red Shift ]

Which scientific claim is right?

Ralph Hertle

.