

Re: Scientists "reaching" when it comes to "Deep Impact"

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.astro.amateur/2005-07/msg00194.html>

- *From:* geraldkelleher@xxxxxxxxxxxx
 - *Date:* 3 Jul 2005 03:16:33 -0700
-

To Chris

Copernicus never proposed a theory and neither did the first heliocentrists, who affirmed his reasoning, would have understood it as a theory.

Anyone today can affirm this by referring to the Earth's orbital motion against Mars thus inferring heliocentricity whereas 'theorists' are speculative animals that dilute the impact and power of the reasoning of the first heliocentrists.

Newton says that retrograde motion is explained away by introducing a speculative observer on the Sun –

"For to the earth they appear sometimes direct, sometimes stationary, nay, and sometimes retrograde. But from the sun they are always seen direct, and to proceed with a motion nearly uniform, that is to say, a little swifter in the perihelion and a little slower in the aphelion distances"

<http://members.tripod.com/~gravitee/phaenomena.htm>

Anyone can enjoy the heliocentric experience of the first astronomers by gauging retrograde motion as a normal consequence of the Earth taking an faster inner circuit in its orbital motion thus inferring heliocentricity without having to introduce a speculative notion .

<http://alpha.lasalle.edu/~smithsc/Astronomy/images/marsmovie.gif>

Would anyone care to hear a heliocentrist explain this –

Galileo

"Now what is said here of Jupiter is to be understood of Saturn and Mars also. In Saturn these retrogressions are somewhat more frequent than in Jupiter, because its motion is slower than Jupiter's, so that the Earth overtakes it in a shorter time. In Mars they are rarer, its motion being faster than that of Jupiter, so that the Earth spends more

Re: Scientists "reaching" when it comes to "Deep Impact"

time in catching up with it. Next, as to Venus and Mercury, whose circles are included within that of the Earth, stoppings and retrograde motions appear in them also, due not to any motion that really exists in them, but to the annual motion of the Earth. This is acutely demonstrated by Copernicus . . .

You see, gentlemen, with what ease and simplicity the annual motion — if made by the Earth — lends itself to supplying reasons for the apparent anomalies which are observed in the movements of the five planets. . . . It removes them all and reduces these movements to equable and regular motions; and it was Nicholas Copernicus who first clarified for us the reasons for this marvelous effect." 1632, Dialogue Concerning the Two Chief World Systems

The mantra 'observations support theory' is for those who know no better and have never experienced their participation in the motions of a heliocentric system ,this experience seperates an astronomer from an observer .

The lapse from pure heliocentric reasoning which infers heliocentricity from the Earth's motions rather than a speculative leap is an awful abuse of the insights of the first astronomers,you can get away with it but it will always be a poor substitute and ultimately an insincere and destructive undertaking.

People admire singers and people who strive to stop injustice on the backs of people who cannot feed or defend themselves (G8 concerts) but what can said for this dour and dismal situation where you manage to present insincerity as an achievement on the backs of the great astronomers and civilisations stretching back to remote antiquity who sorted and sifted geometry and astronomy into an exquisite discipline.

Your silence or the convenient mantras must seem like some type of a perverse achievement but with these mantras,you have managed to turn astronomy from a fountain into a cistern.

• *References:*

- ◆ ***Re: Scientists "reaching" when it comes to "Deep Impact"***
◇ *From: RichA*
- ◆ ***Re: Scientists "reaching" when it comes to "Deep Impact"***
◇ *From: Martin Brown*
- ◆ ***Re: Scientists "reaching" when it comes to "Deep Impact"***
◇ *From: Chris L Peterson*
- ◆ ***Re: Scientists "reaching" when it comes to "Deep Impact"***
◇ *From: AstroHoney*
- ◆ ***Re: Scientists "reaching" when it comes to "Deep Impact"***

Re: Scientists "reaching" when it comes to "Deep Impact"

◇ *From:* Chris L Peterson

- Prev by Date: ***Re: C-11 ota weights?***
- Next by Date: ***Re: Comet Tempell seen in 5" Refractor***
- Previous by thread: ***Re: Scientists "reaching" when it comes to "Deep Impact"***
- Next by thread: ***Re: Scientists "reaching" when it comes to "Deep Impact"***
- Index(es):
 - ◆ ***Date***
 - ◆ ***Thread***