

Re: S & T uses low end paper

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.astro.amateur/2005-07/msg02160.html>

- *From:* "G.T." <getnews1@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Fri, 29 Jul 2005 17:00:17 -0700
-

"John Steinberg" <seesig@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:290720051921186671%seesig@xxxxxxxx

>

> Not to toot my own horn, but this statement underscores my argument.

> Equipment reviewers often know a lot more about telescopes than we

> readers so I believe there's a journalistic incumbency to reveal all,

> and not put a pretty bow on a cowpie.

>

Exactly.

At the moment my #1 hobby is mountain biking, #2 is photography, and #3 (with a bullet) is astronomy. The bicycle magazines are disgusting in that they rarely ever point out any negatives of the products they review. Throughout the years they have all raved about new designs that end up not lasting more than 2 or 3 model years. Camera reviews aren't quite as bad since the magazines usually will point out what features are missing from a given camera model.

When I read a review of a product I'm considering buying I want to know what I might be getting myself into, especially if it's an area where I know little, like telescopes.

Greg

.

• *References:*

- ◆ ***S & T uses low end paper***
 ◇ *From:* astrojoe
- ◆ ***Re: S & T uses low end paper***
 ◇ *From:* Milton Aupperle
- ◆ ***Re: S & T uses low end paper***
 ◇ *From:* David Nakamoto
- ◆ ***Re: S & T uses low end paper***

Re: S & T uses low end paper

◇ *From:* Doink

◆ ***Re: S & T uses low end paper***

◇ *From:* Brian Tung

- Prev by Date: ***Re: WARNING! Telescopes.com***
- Next by Date: ***Re: Astro Imager's filter wheel question***
- Previous by thread: ***Re: S & T uses low end paper***
- Next by thread: ***Re: S & T uses low end paper***
- Index(es):
 - ◆ ***Date***
 - ◆ ***Thread***