

# Re: Expensive Small Aperture Refractor?

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.astro.amateur/2005-09/msg02052.html>

---

- *From:* "rat ~( );>" <ratboy99@xxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* 28 Sep 2005 22:41:12 -0700
- 

<<That's totally unfair to the APOs. A \$100,000 car is quite unabashedly a vanity purchase --- it has no practical advantage over a \$25,000 car. >>

Unless it is a new Corvette. \$65k, 505 HP, 135 lb frame. 0-60 in 3.5, quarter mile in what? I forget. Why would anyone want to spend over twice as much on one of those stuck-up Italian POS? I'm saving up for a Chevy.

rat  
~( );>

---

- *Follow-Ups:*
  - ◆ ***Re: Expensive Small Aperture Refractor?***
    - ◇ *From:* Mij Adyaw
  - ◆ ***Re: Expensive Small Aperture Refractor?***
    - ◇ *From:* Kevin M. Vernon
- *References:*
  - ◆ ***Expensive Small Aperture Refractor?***
    - ◇ *From:* Glen Ilacqua
  - ◆ ***Re: Expensive Small Aperture Refractor?***
    - ◇ *From:* Stephen Paul
  - ◆ ***Re: Expensive Small Aperture Refractor?***
    - ◇ *From:* tony\_flanders
- Prev by Date: ***Re: Expensive Small Aperture Refractor?***
- Next by Date: ***Re: Expensive Small Aperture Refractor?***
- Previous by thread: ***Re: Expensive Small Aperture Refractor?***
- Next by thread: ***Re: Expensive Small Aperture Refractor?***
- Index(es):
  - ◆ ***Date***
  - ◆ ***Thread***