

Re: RCX400 review ?

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.astro.amateur/2005-12/msg00019.html>

- *From:* "RMOLLISE" <rmollise@xxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 30 Nov 2005 09:47:06 -0800
-

Thierry wrote:

> "RMOLLISE" <rmollise@xxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
> news:1133366464.642392.235150@xx
> >.
> There's a fairly in-depth review at <cloudynights.com>.
>
> -----
> my >> do no more works !!Grr.
> Fine Rod. Indeed, I thought about it just after have sent this mail !
> Comments are rather positive, a good begin (confirming in fact my first
> feeling of last year).
> Remain to see how it will be appreciated by all kind of users ("novice",
> casual, visual, CCD, and first of all by all advanced amateurs requesting
> the most of their scope). Will be convinced when a (fortunate) university
> will buy one for their students in astronomy or NOAO.
>
>

Hi Thierry:

Let me make two comments concerning the (anecdotal) knowledge of the RCX 400 I have. I've heard two main complaints:

1. The tube is not completely closed. There's a substantial gap between corrector and tube assembly that allows dust (and even insects!) to enter. And, unfortunately, there's no easy way, apparently to remove the corrector assembly to clean the optics.
2. Meade has had some problems with the focus and collimation motors. Focusing has changed collimation on some scopes. Some instruments have had "runaway" conditions with focus motors, or dead focus motors.

Both these problems _sound_ easy enough for Meade to fix.

Peace,
Rod Mollise
Author of *_Choosing and Using a Schmidt Cassegrain Telescope_*
<<http://skywatch.brainiac.com/astroland/index.htm>>

Re: RCX400 review ?

Like SCTs and MCTs?

Check-out sct-user, the mailing list for CAT fanciers:

<<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/sct-user>>

See: <<http://journals.aol.com/rmollise/UncleRodsAstroBlog/>>

For Uncle Rod's Astro Blog.

• *Follow-Ups:*

◆ *Re: RCX400 review ?*

◇ *From:* George Normandin

◆ *Re: RCX400 review ?*

◇ *From:* Phil Wheeler

• *References:*

◆ *Re: RCX400 review ?*

◇ *From:* RMOLLISE

• Prev by Date: *Re: If you believe any of your theories, you've turned science into a religion.*

• Next by Date: *Re: RCX400 review ?*

• Previous by thread: *Re: RCX400 review ?*

• Next by thread: *Re: RCX400 review ?*

• Index(es):

◆ *Date*

◆ *Thread*