

## Re: CMOS vs. CCD -- Link to Article

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.astro.amateur/2005-12/msg00315.html>

---

- *From:* "David Nakamoto" <[res07oeg@xxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:res07oeg@xxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Sat, 03 Dec 2005 07:03:34 GMT
- 

If they can beat the noise down to CCD levels, keep the sensitivity and dynamic range, and deliver more pixels, then it would be something.

My two cents,  
--- Dave  
--

-----  
Pinprick holes in a colorless sky  
Let inspired figures of light pass by  
The Mighty Light of ten thousand suns  
Challenges infinity, and is soon gone

david.nakamoto@xxxxxxxxxxx

"Davoud" <[star@xxxxxxx](mailto:star@xxxxxxx)> wrote in message <news:021220051831574885%star@xxxxxxxxxxx>  
> <<http://tinyurl.com/ajavf>> by Michael DeLuca, Eastman Kodak --  
> Electronic News, 11/30/2005.  
>  
> I was referred to this article by Bob Piatek, an engineer who is  
> developing the "Starfish" CMOS Astro Camera  
> <<http://www.fishcamp.com/starfish.html>>. I saw some prototypes at this  
> year's Macintosh Astronomy Workshop  
> <<http://mrmac.mr.aps.anl.gov/~macastroworkshop/>> and then at AstroFest.  
> The camera looks promising.  
>  
> Davoud  
>  
> ---  
> usenet \*at\* davidillig dawl com

- 
- *Follow-Ups:*
    - ◆ ***Re: CMOS vs. CCD -- Link to Article***  
◇ *From:* Chris L Peterson

- **References:**

- ◆ ***CMOS vs. CCD -- Link to Article***

- ◆ *From:* Davoud

- Prev by Date: ***Re: Showing off equipment***

- Next by Date: ***Re: RCX400 review ?***

- Previous by thread: ***CMOS vs. CCD -- Link to Article***

- Next by thread: ***Re: CMOS vs. CCD -- Link to Article***

- Index(es):

- ◆ ***Date***

- ◆ ***Thread***