

## Re: Last Question...Please

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.astro.amateur/2006-04/msg01648.html>

---

- *From:* "Doink" <skyman102a@xxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Tue, 25 Apr 2006 19:24:58 -0700
- 

What's the 350 XT do that the 300D doesn't?  
Worth the difference? The day stuff isn't important to me...

Doink

"Stephen Paul" <smarshallpaul@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message  
[news:WrqdnfMswZRcRdPZnZ2dnUVZ\\_vidnZ2d@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:WrqdnfMswZRcRdPZnZ2dnUVZ_vidnZ2d@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

Doink wrote:

That's why I was considering other models...you bag the others I mentioned for a LOT less. I'm struggling with "do I need a \$700-800 camera to do what I want?"

I think the answer to that is yep, pretty much.

I just took a look at the others you listed and they're all permanent lens systems (consumer digital). The (pro-sumer) digital SLRs those companies offer are also around \$800 for the low end models at 8MP.

That's why I went with a used 300D. :-)

The non SLR style cameras can only be used afocally. If all you want is a family photo camera, then the consumer cameras are fine, but for anything beyond that you need a pro-sumer. Thankfully they are making these for us hobbyists. Otherwise we'd have to bite the bullet and get a professional cla\$\$ camera.

In the end, if you wanna play, you gotta pay. ;-)

I still say a 12 month to 18 month old 300D is a good value at around \$400. However, after a Google search, I see that the 350XT can be had for as little as \$650 after rebate.