

Re: harvard

Re: harvard

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.astro.amateur/2007-02/msg00197.html>

- *From:* "oriel36" <geraldkelleher@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 4 Feb 2007 10:24:50 -0800
-

On Feb 4, 6:51 pm, "Martin Brown" <||||newspam...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Feb 3, 8:14 pm, "Sitav" <sitav_n...@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Feb 3, 2:26 pm, "Starboard" <errol_w...@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

Think back to all the interactions you have had with younger people in clubs, at star parties, and public presentations. Try not to confuse age difference with trolling.
-Sam Wormley

EARLY IN SITAV'S CAREER, IT ASKED ABOUT A BLACK HOLE. CHRIS, BRIAN, STARLORD, AND OTHERS CONTRIBUTED GOOD ANSWERS. SITAV, WHO IS PROBABLY IN THE CLASS WITH MIN, HAS ITS MIND MADE UP TO STUMP THE GROUP AND REPLIES:

Sitav wrote:

cmon. its a yes or no question. Does it close or not?

Re: harvard

Re: harvard

AFTER SOME TIME PASSES, KT CHIMES IN.

KT wrote:

The infinite typing monkeys haven't come up with the answer yet?

TO WHICH SITAV THE TROLL-MEISTER REPLIES.

Sitav wrote:

they are not THAT bright sorry for the inconvenience

WE KNOW THAT KT WAS TAKING A JAB AT THE GROUP, BUT HOW DOES SITAV KNOW THAT AND WHY DOES SHE (ASSUMING SHE'S A SHE AND 13 FOR THE SAKE OF ARGUMENT) GO ALONG WITH IT AND THROW HER OWN PUNCH?

ERROL

because i deal with sluts like these 5 days a week at school, and they are much worse.
juggling school, peer pressure, and the constant need to be absolutely perfect for my parents, i need to toughen up a little. i'll admit that

You would have a lot more credibilty if you appeared to have read and responded intelligently to the answers that you do get. It is hard to describe in natural language some of the important ideas behind astronomy and astrophysics accurately. Mathematics is the language of science and without an understanding of how the reasoning works some

Re: harvard

Re: harvard

results look like pulling a rabbit out of a hat!

BTW Don't take anything you read on Usenet as being true – go and find a good book in the library that is aimed at about your level.

Many of the questions you have asked should really be in the parent group sci.astro where they would be properly on topic. unfortunately that group is populated with a very high proportion of nutters and net-kooks with private theories of the universe that defy all logic and most physical laws.

Funny,funny,funny.You are indeed correct for allow me to show you one such statement that defies explanation –

"PHÆNOMENON IV.

That the fixed stars being at rest, the periodic times of the five primary planets, and (whether of the sun about the earth, or) of the earth about the sun, are in the sesquiplicate proportion of their mean distances from the sun." Newton

<http://members.tripod.com/~gravitee/phaenomena.htm>

The Earth about the Sun indeed !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!.

There are no laws,physical or otherwise, however there is an accurate assesment of observed astronomical phenomena based on physical considerations and I assure you a Newtonian geocentric/heliocentric orbital equivalency is not one of them.

The Keplerian 'Periodic Times Argument' is an easy to understand astronomical principle that has nothing to do with the Newtonian rubbish favored by the intellectually pretentious but who are sadly intuitively impoverished.

i am way ahead of my age and u do have a right to belive that i am a troll, but i am not going to argue. i come here to ask questions and try to get a few answers, not get stupid remarks from trolls be acused of being one.– Hide quoted text –

The problem is that you do look very much like a troll. Asking questions and then sitting back without ever showing any further

Re: harvard

Re: harvard

interest in the topic. Either you asked a question because you wanted to know the answer or you are trolling for the sake of it.

What level of mathematics do they teach to 13 year olds these days.

Regards,

Martin Brown– Hide quoted text –

– Show quoted text –