

Re: Universes, was Re: Venus– why not a good planet to view?

Re: Universes, was Re: Venus– why not a good planet to view?

Source: <http://sci.tech–archive.net/Archive/sci.astro.amateur/2007–05/msg00682.html>

- *From:* oriel36 <kelleher.gerald@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 16 May 2007 13:28:45 –0700
-

On May 16, 10:51 am, Bullseye <b...@xxxxxx> wrote:

On Tue, 15 May 2007 18:59:52 +0000 (UTC), Andrew Smallshaw

<andr...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

There
are scientific arguments against the existence of God (which I
deliberately am not bringing up as it's OT) but these will never
be proof to those who accept their faith as axiomatic.

These can be arguments, but quite silly as man cannot be so arrogant
to actually think they have enough scientific knowledge to say that.
As I said it will take a long time for science to get nearer to any
explanations. You could say science could even hit a wall for hundreds
or thousands of years once so much has been discovered. The rest might
prove too complex for simple minded humans to figure out. That's just
a theory of course. But we can be like chimps figuring out how to get
to a banana placed in a hard to get place. They're a far cry from
figuring out the universe.

Science can also be silly sometimes. They explain certain
occurrences
on earth as "nature" or "mother nature", but using nature as a
noun is
not scientific since scientists in no shape or form have ever
detected
what specifically and literally "nature" is. Scientists can be
arrogant in the ways they seem to think they can explain
everything.

This is actually science in action: Saying we just don't know