

# Re: Ironic SAA

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.astro.amateur/2007-08/msg01842.html>

---

- *From:* [allisonki@xxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:allisonki@xxxxxxxxxxx)
  - *Date:* Sun, 26 Aug 2007 17:03:27 -0700
- 

On Aug 26, 2:56 pm, oriel36 <geraldkelle...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

If you ever get around to realising that trying to fit 4 annual orbital cycles of the Earth into a calendar cycle based on 3 years of 365 days and 1 year of 366 days is a hopl;ess waste of effort then you could probably find yourself doing something useful but as it is,you are just another sour indioividual with a cartoon view of the Earth's motions derived via Flamsteed.

You know, everybody knows that, you're not telling us anything we don't know.

We all know that the earth does not revolve around the Sun once every 365 days, and every 366 days every fourth year. The calendar in standard use assumes thusly because it is convenient and simple to do so for civil purposes.

No need to bring that up again here.

Sorry.