

Re: Ironic SAA

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.astro.amateur/2007-08/msg01894.html>

- *From:* oriel36 <geraldkelleher@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Mon, 27 Aug 2007 03:37:56 -0700
-

On Aug 27, 1:03 am, alliso...@xxxxxxxxxxxx wrote:

On Aug 26, 2:56 pm, oriel36 <geraldkelle...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

If you ever get around to realising that trying to fit 4 annual orbital cycles of the Earth into a calendar cycle based on 3 years of 365 days and 1 year of 366 days is a hopless waste of effort then you could probably find yourself doing something useful but as it is, you are just another sour individual with a cartoon view of the Earth's motions derived via Flamsteed.

You know, everybody knows that, you're not telling us anything we don't know.

Listen carefully, you learn first how the 24 hour day is created from the inequalities of the natural noon cycle, learn it from Huygens –

<http://www.xs4all.nl/~adcs/Huygens/06/kort-E.html>

When you discover that the noon cycles are not 24 hours exactly you will be undoing centuries of fiction based on the idea that the noon cycle is 24 hours, the axial cycle is 23 hours 56 minutes 04 seconds and the 3 minute 56 second difference represents the orbital motion of the Earth –

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sidereal_time

We all know that the earth does not revolve around the Sun once every 365 days, and every 366 days every fourth year. The calendar in standard use assumes thusly because it is convenient and simple to do so for civil purposes.

Re: Ironic SAA

The problem is the inability to follow the argument which Flamsteed proposed in his ill-considered idea that the return of a star to a location can be used to justify the axial and orbital motion of the Earth. Listen carefully –

A star will return to a location in 23 hours 56 minutes of a 24 hour day ONLY when the system is based on 3 years of 365 days and 1 year of 366 days. This means that justifying 4 annual orbital cycles of the Earth within a 1461 day calendrical cycle does not and never has worked. Despite the fact that all major institutions regard the axial cycle as 23 hours 56 minutes 04 seconds, it requires the noon cycles to be 24 hours exactly –

<http://hypertextbook.com/facts/1999/JennyChen.shtml>

No need to bring that up again here.

There are another group of people known as 'creationists' who have basic problems with the 24 hour cycle and natural phenomena and I am not prepared to see this era continue to believe in utter nonsense created by the unfortunate Flamsteed in his quest to use astrological geometry to determine terrestrial longitude.

Sorry.– Hide quoted text –

– Show quoted text –

Re: Ironic SAA