

Re: Before the nonsense breaks out

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.astro.amateur/2008-04/msg00342.html>

- *From:* oriel36 <kelleher.gerald@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Fri, 18 Apr 2008 12:48:49 -0700 (PDT)
-

On Apr 18, 7:59 pm, Quadibloc <jsav...@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Apr 18, 4:11 am, oriel36 <kelleher.ger...@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

I like the Wikipedia nonsense better than your nonsense where 23 hours 56 minutes 04 seconds 'wraps around' at 24 hours, No kidding ,they actually wrote this !! –

"When one moves eastward 15° in longitude, sidereal time is larger by one hour (note that it wraps around at 24 hours). "

It should be noted that since "sidereal time" is expressed in hours and minutes, one can refer to an hour of sidereal time that is shorter than an hour in the same ratio that 23 hours, 56 minutes, and 4 seconds is shorter than 24 hours.

John Savard

Most here already know the 'sidereal time' explanation for axial and orbital motion is a crock and the basis for so much mischief that has brought terrestrial/celestial investigations into disrepute and especially the dumping of so much junk into the astronomical arena under the guise of 'astronomy'. You actually have arrived at the destination your empirical agenda seeks and used a perverted version of Copernican reasoning via Newton to achieve it,you even celebrate it in all its forms by giving it a name –

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mediocrity_principle

That is why you are beyond criticism,you are only acting out your storytelling whereas others like silence as an option,or sourness,or dullness but none of it any good.The price of wrapping the Universe up in celestial sphere geometry is that it becomes a cistern and so it remains.

I had abandoned any public presentation of my work on quasicrystals and their antecedents on the plane – Penrose tiles because the background for promoting the work was just not there for reasons that are only now becoming apparent.

One thing which makes our planet special is the sheer abundance of animate and inanimate objects that are encompassed in a background geometry which generates form after form in accordance with a proportion. It did not evolve with life, it simply exists in all its spectacular forms and for a person of intuitive faith, a love of astronomy and geometry, the presentations of cosmological and biological evolution without taking into account the geometry is a sterile and barren way to approach things. To be fair, Penrose (who first created the tiles), did say that quasicrystal growth cannot occur as a step by step process, the background influences which create the so-called 'forbidden symmetry' have to be present in order for the process to occur.

It is the same with biological evolution. We are creatures of the astronomical cycles of the Earth, our bodies respond to its motions over and above geographical and climatological influences which condition individual traits such as skin color, endurance to heat/cold, altitude ect. The exclusion of the astronomical element in the matter of the evolution of our race has meant that the sole focus has been to reduce evolution of life to the backwater of chemistry and if physicists had their way they would reduce it further into a sub-atomic imaginary world just as exotic as their astronomical counterpart. That was the argument of those who fought against the attempted leap into explaining the evolution of life via imaginary principles, the reaction of the empiricist was the shotgun clause of 'intelligent designer' as a reaction to a valid critique –

<http://groups.google.com/group/talk.origins/msg/929fc9e5d525f556>

In short, the people who promoted 'intelligent design' were more often than not the people who opposed it, it sounds like a contradiction but sometimes that is the way things happen, anyone who opposed the linear projection of evolution back to its constituent chemical and elemental components would have 'intelligent design' thrown at them like an accusation. To illustrate the point, after only a few responses in that original thread where valid objections were raised, the shotgun clause was brought out –

<http://groups.google.com/group/talk.origins/msg/63d9060fe46932c3>

Re: Before the nonsense breaks out

In an effort to wind cosmological evolution back to the 'Big Bang', the same arguments are employed and genuine material such as the background which creates so many objects in terms of the 'Divine Proportion' are cast aside. That is why my work on quasicrystals and the underlying geometry now remains a private work, empiricists managed to turn an exciting geometry into the same mediocre mess they have turned everything else into.