

## Re: Letter to oriel36 – continued

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech–archive.net/Archive/sci.astro.amateur/2008–10/msg00657.html>

---

- *From:* oriel36 <kelleher.gerald@xxxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Thu, 23 Oct 2008 10:33:34 –0700 (PDT)
- 

On Oct 23, 7:22 pm, chris1...@xxxxxxx wrote:

On Oct 23, 10:07 am, ukastronomy <martin\_piers\_nichol...@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

I am anxious not to misrepresent your views so I would just like to make certain what are the main features of what you are claiming.

No matter what you ask of him, he is just going to take you on a shitslide. It's like trying to squeeze a waterballon. No matter what part you squeeze, some other part will pop out of your hands, and you will never be able to compress it to your will.

He is having fun with you by using run–on sentences and other grammatical tricks that one usually only hears from blathering politicians. I think he is practicing up for a run on the presidency some time in the future, and knowing how some people reason, he might have a very good chance of winning.

Rolando

He does not have to adhere tooth and nail to my descriptions,he can simply interpret the two 360 degree motions with respect to the central Sun via the powerful images and time lapse footage of the HST

–

<http://hubblesite.org/newscenter/archive/releases/1999/11/video/b>

Martin is discussing ideas and that is just the way it should be in this forum.If all Martin can manage is 'axial tilt' then he is in good company as it remains the explanation Copernicus used for the seasonal variations in daylight/darkness.It is when the global variations in the natural noon cycles require an explanation that 'axial tilt' runs into trouble and that is why the original thread by Martin and the reply from me are basically insult free –

Re: Letter to oriel36 – continued

"Great minds discuss ideas; average minds discuss events; small minds discuss people." Eleanor Roosevelt