

Re: Complete proof against evolution

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.astro.amateur/2009-03/msg00486.html>

- *From:* oriel36 <kelleher.gerald@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sat, 14 Mar 2009 05:36:42 -0700 (PDT)
-

On Mar 14, 12:07 pm, "A Believer" <abelieverin...@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

As a Christian myself, I find your comments offensive. Not just this single post, but over a whole series of posts. You seem to want to attack religion, God, and especially Christianity.

He has a good reason to attack Christianity and I don't blame him, what is objectionable, at least to this Christian, is the loathsome 'compromise' where 'science is science and faith is faith' that has been emerging for quite some time.

Take this evolution business for instance, I work on rotational geodynamics and its consequences on the fractured surface crust, surface features and events such as Earthquakes and volcanic activity, my heritage is through Wegener, Smith and Steno in drawing up the basic geological evolutionary timeline allied with biological evolutionary factors such as fossils. The I come across the most anti-scientific intrusion such as Darwin's 'cause' for evolution which is a giant distraction.

This Darwin guy ripped the reasoning from an essay on national supremacy and applied it to biological evolution as a 'law' –

"One day something brought to my recollection Malthus's Principles of Population, which I had read about twelve years before. I thought of his clear exposition of the positive checks to increase disease, accidents, war, and famine which keep down the population of savage races to so much lower an average than that of civilized peoples. It then occurred to me that these causes or their equivalents are continually acting in the case of animals also..... because in every generation the inferior would inevitably be killed off and the superior would remain that is, the fittest would survive. & The more I thought over it the more I became convinced that I had at length found the long-sought-for law of nature that solved the problem of the origin of species." Charles Darwin

Unless you actually read what Thomas Malthus wrote, you will have no

Re: Complete proof against evolution

idea just how anti-scientific the leap from national supremacy to "survival of the fittest" actually is –

"Till at length the whole territory, from the confines of China to the shores of the Baltic, was peopled by a various race of Barbarians, brave, robust, and enterprising, inured to hardship, and delighting in war. Some tribes maintained their independence. Others ranged themselves under the standard of some barbaric chieftain who led them to victory after victory, and what was of more importance, to regions abounding in corn, wine, and oil, the long wished for consummation, and great reward of their labours. An Alaric, an Attila, or a Zingis Khan, and the chiefs around them, might fight for glory, for the fame of extensive conquests, but the true cause that set in motion the great tide of northern emigration, and that continued to propel it till it rolled at different periods against China, Persia, Italy, and even Egypt, was a scarcity of food, a population extended beyond the means of supporting it." Thomas Malthus

I mean, this is great stuff if you like opinions about invasion of another country, rape, pillage and whatever but as a basis for biological evolution, well...

The only safe haven at the moment is astronomy, the technical issues and its geometric foundations provide a very strong platform for countering ideologies which are neither particularly scientific or religious but unfortunately there is some difficulty in finding people who actually like astronomy and its reasoning from the racket going on about science vs religion or the new tendency to see them as separate entities but buddies.