

Re: Another "Pole Shift"

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.astro/2004-07/1769.html>

From: Dr_Postman (*Looky_at_mysig.foremail*)

Date: 07/19/04

Date: Mon, 19 Jul 2004 00:05:33 GMT

On Sun, 18 Jul 2004 21:19:18 GMT, Yoda <briansterling@rogers.com>
wrote:

>

>

>DrPostman wrote:

>

>> On Sun, 18 Jul 2004 18:17:53 GMT, Yoda <briansterling@rogers.com>

>> wrote:

>>

>>

>>

>>>What if the current height of Manchu Picchu in Peru as well as frozen

>>>wooly Mammoths found in Siberia is evidence of a previous so called

>>>polar shift? I have heard it said that Manchu Picchu was heaved up

>>>during the last shift, and that only a massive "heat sink" can account

>>>for the near perfectly preserved Mammoth discovered frozen.

>>

>>

>> That's a total myth. None have been found "perfectly preserved".

>> <http://www.talkorigins.org/faqs/mom/atlantis.html>

>> <http://www.csicop.org/sb/9603/origins.html>

>

>My my aren't we nitpicking. What I said was, near perfectly preserved.

> Perhaps you should get some new glasses.

They weren't "near perfectly preserved" either. They don't even think
they can get what they need to try to clone them.

>>

>>>Also wouldn't the tectonic plates all over the world begin to shift or

>>>slide as the magnetic grid begins to loosen leading up to and prior to a

>>>cataclysmic polar reversal? Perhaps there is a cubic function to the

>>

>>

>> Somehow you are confusing magnetic reversals for the movement

>> of plates.

>

sci.astro: Re: Another "Pole Shift"

>No, you are confusing magnetic reversals for tectonic plate movements.

>I already kn