

Re: revisiting Apollo

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.astro/2004-07/1841.html>

From: Jaxtraw (jaxtraw_at_nospamnobigfoot.com)

Date: 07/19/04

Date: Mon, 19 Jul 2004 07:02:04 +0100

"Paul Lawler" <stargazer@kilolaniDOT.net> wrote in message
news:TCEKc.7738\$Qu5.284@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> "Yoda" <briansterling@rogers.com> wrote in message
> news:BkDKc.1058410\$Ar.541753@twister01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
>> You're the moron to believe that picture which clearly shows moon mud is
>> dust. Dust doesnt leave footprints. And what museum has samples of
>> this dust so that we can all view it and compare samples? Please you
>> have to do better than that.
>
> And you are the person (you may, in fact be a moron, but I don't have
enough
> evidence to make the kind of judgements you seem to be able to make
without
> evidence) who doesn't seem to realize that very fine lunar dust might have
> different properties from the kind of dust that "doesn't leave
footprints."
> In fact, I would posit that most fine dust (e.g. flour) leaves very clear
> footprints.
>

I performed a simple experiment yesterday evening using flour and a selection of simple objects, and confirmed that flour does indeed create very accurate prints of the objects impressed upon it. Sadly at this point I got carried away, added some butter and sugar, and made an extremely fine fruit crumble. I have thus eaten the evidence for my claims, but am prepared to go on Canadian TV and state what happened.

Or provide the recipe.

Ian