

Re: 'Multiverse Theory' – Universe is a Virtual Reality Matrix

Source: <http://sci.tech–archive.net/Archive/sci.astro/2004–08/0145.html>

From: Torbjørn Larsson (070–3993938_at_comhem.se)

Date: 08/02/04

Date: 1 Aug 2004 21:45:34 -0700

dralexgreen@yahoo.co.uk (Alex Green) wrote in message
news:<42c8441.0407290414.6f53dc45@posting.google.com>...
> 070–3993938@comhem.se (Torbjørn Larsson) wrote in message
news:<70b38f8b.0407281939.33cf116c@posting.google.com>...

> > Wonder how Alex 'observation point' (center of his consciousness
> > manifold) jumps from awake to dream state? It can't be an unconscious
> > process if I understand him correctly, that seems to be forbidden...
>
> Look around, the observation point contains nothing (like a real
> point!), it is a geometrical phenomenon such as may occur in some
> types of geometrical manifold, nothing flows into it. The manifold
> itself is populated as a result of the information processing of the
> senses/memory/modelling by the bulk of the brain: so switching from
> wakefulness to sleep depends on the input from this processing.

Okay, but in another post you say:

"The term 'conscious experience' is preferable to the term
'consciousness'. 'Conscious experience' is all the things during that
short period of time that you call 'now'. It includes your inner
speech, perceptual fields, dreams if they are happening, imagination
etc. The small part of conscious experience that you call *thinking
about a thing* is a good skill for success in better paid jobs.

All the remarks I have made in earlier posts are about 'conscious
experience'. It is conscious experience that has a definite state or
form, that contains many things simultaneously. It is conscious
experience that cannot be modelled in an information system. It is
conscious experience that is the output of cortical processing."

I can draw two conclusions from this:

1. Your perceptual field model is static in the respect that it is
populated and depopulated but the information is newer –used–. (I was
nearly going to say –processed– further, but then you would have
rotated in your chair, I guess.) No mechanism is provided that picks

an object for further study or action. I may be wrong here, if so please elucidate.

2. Since there is no mechanism for usage within the manifold everything happens at once. Ie in your model the consciousness is multitasking. This is contrary to observations, see "Executive Control of Cognitive Processes in Task Switching," Joshua S. Rubinstein, U.S. Federal Aviation Administration, Atlantic City, N.J.; David E. Meyer and Jeffrey E. Evans, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Mich., Journal of Experimental Psychology – Human Perception and Performance, Vol 27. No.4. There are newer papers that explains this better but this is at least describing the time penalties for switching that proves that the consciousness is really singletask oriented. Furthermore it proves that taskswitching is a –process–, not always under conscious control but often, that needs to be explained.