

Re: 'Multiverse Theory' – Universe is a Virtual Reality Matrix

Source: <http://sci.tech–archive.net/Archive/sci.astro/2004–08/0169.html>

From: Volstag (hawkbeak_at_hotmail.com)

Date: 08/02/04

Date: 2 Aug 2004 09:29:33 -0700

usenet@mantra.com (Dr. Jai Maharaj) wrote in message news:<hSF6n90Llrc9@pN059f4whUUBlh>...

> In article <b4d10b91.0407301231.35b5b68c@posting.google.com>,
> hawkbeak@hotmail.com (Volstag) posted:
>> Why would the alleged "intelligent design" of the universe suggest the
>> existense of God? Couldn't it, just as easily, suggest the existense
>> of Zues, or Odin, or Moloch the Destroyer, or Vishnu, etc, etc?
>>
>> I'm no cosmologist/physicist, but the appearance of intelligent design
>> is a far cry from emperical proof.
>>
>> -V
>
> How do you define "God" in the context of your usage above?
> By the way "appearance" does relate to "observation", hence
> an observed proof is an empirical proof.

1) I define God as me. I am the Creator of the Multiverse.
2) Thanks for correcting me. Let me get this right: the "appearance"
of something is synonymous with "emperical proof". Since Kermit the
Frog appears to be a sentient being, it must mean he is.

> Jai Maharaj
> <http://www.mantra.com/jai>
> Om Shanti

"If an astrologer can avoid charging fees, he shouldn't hesitate to
provide free service to all --- Steve Jay"

I want my free reading, okay?

-V