

Re: Sandman digest, volume 2453296

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.astro/2004-10/2201.html>

From: Michael Baldwin Bruce (*mbbruce_at_mighty.co.za*)

Date: 10/21/04

Date: 21 Oct 2004 02:23:56 -0700

bruce aka tholen@antispam.ham wrote in message news:<e9scd.13150\$K13.2502@twister.socal.rr.com>...

> *Today's Sandman digest:*

>

> 386> *Incorrect, given the total lack of any reference to you.*

>

> *Liar:*

>

> *"Could anyone point me in the direction on how to program
> a bot such as Tholen? How do you manage its dictionary? I
> would want it to have a much bigger vocabulary than Tholen
> does (what was its writers thinking, giving it a fifty-word
> vocabulary or something like that). It should also have some
> intelligence built in, as opposed to Tholen, so it could
> choose phrases according to the situation (again, as opposed
> to Tholen)."*

> *--Sandman*

>

> 386> *The paragraph below only mentions references to "a bot such as*

> 386> *Tholen".*

>

> *Liar:*

>

> *"Could anyone point me in the direction on how to program
> a bot such as Tholen? How do you manage its dictionary? I
> would want it to have a much bigger vocabulary than Tholen
> does (what was its writers thinking, giving it a fifty-word
> vocabulary or something like that). It should also have some
> intelligence built in, as opposed to Tholen, so it could
> choose phrases according to the situation (again, as opposed
> to Tholen)."*

> *--Sandman*

>

> 386> *It is quite clear that I am in reference to a program, not*

> 386> *you - that is, unless you claim that you're a program.*

>

> *Liar:*

>

> *"Could anyone p*