The Origin of The Universe / S D Rodrian

Source: http://sci.tech−archive.net/Archive/sci.astro/2005−02/1631.html

---

From: SDR (*sdrodrian_at_sdrodrian.com*)
Date: 02/15/05

Date: 14 Feb 2005 18:06:26 −0800

>From: ralph (ralph@eddlewood.demon.co.uk)
>Subject: Re: The Origin of The Universe / S D Rodrian
>Newsgroups: talk.religion.pantheism, soc.culture.usa, alt.politics.usa.misc,
>talk.politics.misc, talk.philosophy.humanism
>Date: 2005−02−14 14:40:53 PST
>In message <58087ec7.0502140922.5924aebf@posting.google.com>, SDR
><sdrodrian@sdrodrian.com> writes
>>Too late for Einstein, we begin here from the specific proposition that
>>there is no fundamental difference between "matter" and the "primordial
>>material" (some may term "scalar mass" or simply "energy") and that
>>they are but merely two levels of the same single process of
>>"matter−organization" (simply many orders of magnitude distant from
>>each other).

>I do not understand this passage. Are you aware of the equation e=mc^2?

The equation means that matter IS energy, and my passage above obviously states that matter is energy (if you read further you will see the purpose of the passage−−setting up the solution to the origin of energy itself). There is no dispute between Einstein and I. The only differences are that with the latest information I can now describe the evolution of the universe FROM energy to matter... without having to invent some magical curtain behind which (the Big Bang) such a transition took place.

>In it Einstein quantifies the relationship.

His famous (was it his? is another question not relevant here) equation basically boils down to "there is a humongous amount of energy if a bit of matter." If you read my text (where I do not quantify the relationship either because I just do not have the tools or the time to do so, but others may yet accomplish it to some degree of accuracy), if you read my text you will realize that the size of the cosmic hollow that collapsed into our visible universe of matter was indeed as proportional in absolute size to the resulting visible universe of matter at its core as energy to matter in Einstein's equation (which is only an approximation,

after all). Only now you know WHY the humongous "proportion."

> I am not going to plough on through your script if you cannot
> clarify this point. −− ralph

I hope that I clarified it, and that you read the text.

S D Rodrian
http://poems.sdrodrian.com
http://physics.sdrodrian.com
http://ar.sdrodrian.com
http://music.sdrodrian.com