

Re: digest, volume 2453426

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.astro/2005-03/0011.html>

From: Michael Baldwin Bruce (*mbbruce_at_mighty.co.za*)

Date: 02/28/05

Date: 27 Feb 2005 23:55:01 -0800

Bruce AKA tholen@antispam.ham wrote:

> *Michael Haslam writes:*

>

> >>>>>>> *Sacqueboutier writes:*

>

> >>>>>>> *Good grief. He's still at it.*

>

> >>>>>>> *Yes, Art Deco has posted over 300 antagonistic and hypocritical*

> >>>>>>> *articles in just the last 40 days. Do you suppose he'll stop now*

> >>>>>>> *that he's reached the top of the list of biggest disrupters of the*

> >>>>>>> *newsgroup?*

>

> >>>>>>> *You're the biggest disrupter of the froup, tholen.*

>

> >>>>>> *What's a "froup", Haslam?*

>

> >>>>> *The oldest, bestknown, and mostused Usenet typo. Get a clue, tholen.*

>

> >>>> *Illogical, given that one cannot disrupt a typo, Haslam. Get a clue,*

> >>>> *Haslam.*

>

> >>> *Illogical, given that a word can be described without reference to its*

> >>> *meaning, tholen. Get a clue.*

>

> >> *Non sequitur, given that you were talking about the biggest disrupter,*

> >> *Haslam. Get a clue, Haslam.*

>

> > *If you can't work out what froup means in the context in which I used*

> > *it, particularly after my answer to your enquiry, you most certainly*

> > *have no clue, tholen.*

>

> *If you can't understand that one cannot disrupt a typo, you most
> certainly have no clue, Haslam.*

>

> > *OTOH you might be the biggest antagonist of all time.*

>

> *Or I might not be, Haslam. In fact, I am not, given that you are a
> far bigger antagonist, Haslam.*

Classic "mispelling", Bruce.