

Re: *HURRAY!* Google Groups gets act together

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.astro/2005-08/msg00186.html>

- *From:* Philip Deitiker <Nopdeitik@xxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 22 Aug 2005 17:47:17 GMT
-

In sci.archaeology, Eric created a message ID news:bvKdnfW7t_005ZTeRVn-qw@xxxxxxxxxxxxx:

> Landy wrote:
>
>>>
>>> Also, replace the Boycott Google campaign by the "Boycott
Inbred
>>> Presidents" campaign which at the present time includes
the Kennedys,
>>> Kerries, Clintons, Carters, and Bushes (the latter for
forcing Israel
>>> to cede more land to the Terrorists and Pro-Terrorists in
the deceptive
>>> name of Road Map for Peace (actually Road Map For
Withdrawal).
>>
>> Land which Israel forceably took in the first place from
your so-called
>> terrorists.
>> Who are the real terrorists in this case?
>> cheers
>> Bill
>
> Yeh, after the arabs attacked Israel. No, the real
terrorists are now and
> always have been arabs. I guess you have been reading the
left-wing view of
> history, you know, the one that is re-written to fit their
agenda? I've
> just never understood why the left hates the Jews so badly,
why they
> blindly support every terrorist (Mao, Ho, Castro, Che,
Arafat, bin Laden)
> that comes down the pike. Just makes no sense, no sense at
all...

During the period from 1880 to 1920 there were about 70,000
Jews living in palesting and about 500,000 coastal arabs, and

Re: *HURRAY!* Google Groups gets act together

transjordanians, about 70,000 orthodox christians. In the period that followed Britian initiated a secret policy of Jewish immigration as well as a public policy. Just about every guideline they committed to in the LoN Mandate for palestine was violated, and by 1928 the violence rate between arabs and jews, measurable in single digits per year began to rise. We know for a fact that between 1928 and 1938 Jewish interest in Britian were exporting arms shipments to Palestinian Jews, and we know for fact that the British has a policy of tolerance for backdoor illegal immigration of Jews to palestine. As the palestinians found out about this in the 1930s the leaders who were selected by Britian, revolted and the british simply exiles them from the country. By the 1940s the population of palestine was about 50:50 Jewish and Arab. The British virtually walked out of Palestine in 1939, leaving a growing set of problems that would accelerate at the end of the war. At this time, 'officially' or Madate compliant Jewish settled areas were about 10% of Palestine. It is also important to note that in this period Jeruselem, there were almost no european Jews settled in 1920, began to see increases in Jewish settlements unofficially a number of petty crimes committed against muslims is a more or less harassive manner. Officially the british were supposed to block settlement of any Jews to indigeonously occupied regions, unofficially they ignored all arab claims that this was happening.

The turn of events that exposed the problem is that one of the early settled areas in the northern part of the palestinian mandate area was encroached upon and had some sort of petty crimes being committed. A jewish family brought the case to the British, which then heard the case, only to find out it was the illegal Jewish squaters that were the cause of the problem. It somehow got into the situation reports that were brought to the league of Nations, and the League requested the GB investigate the level of illegal immigration. When they did so they found that immigration was almost double of their committed values, and the unemployment rate in the illegal immigrants was about 85% explaining the rash of petty crimes in some areas. In addition to this, at this time Jewish terrorist organizations began to form in palestine, the target of these organizations was a Jewish state over most of palestine, we have a pretty good idea of how many there were and how enumerated they were by the size of intercepted arms shipments. These organizations are responsible for several assasinations including on of the UNs top diplomats from Sweden.

After the war was over the UNs took over adopted and modified the League of Nations obligations including the palestinian mandate. The arabs would not negotiate with Israel as long as illegal immigration to Palestine continued (a.k.a. the Baldorf plan in full action) whereas the Jews would not

Re: *HURRAY!* Google Groups gets act together

Re: *HURRAY!* Google Groups gets act together

form as part of a palestinian state, the required their own state. Violence continued to escalate as the British and Jewish interest pushed for a Jewish state much larger than was permissible under the guidelines of the original mandate. There were a number of maps drawn up, 2 had maps still available at the United Nations would have Isreal primarily in the northern part of Palestine and another with Jewish settlements close to the Red Sea. In one plan the city of jeruselem was under UN control in the other arab control. The jews of course reject these plans.

1. because they did not connect the areas of Israel
 2. because direct access to jeruselem was denied
- (That this was not about a holy war is fictitious, it was always about a holy war and holy lands)

During the period the British were to come up with a plan that would compromise between Arab and Jews. The level of immigration increased, as with the level of violence. The boundaries (mandate) was to be settled in august, the british however walked out months before this declaring 'we have no control', within 24 hours the Jews declared and isreali state that was considerably larger than either the 2 maps and which connected the coastline to jeruselem and moved into the salient, the arabs then attacked the salient, and the rest is history.

The considered defense of Jews is that the Jews HAD to do what they did (illegal immigration, increase the size of the declared state, non cessation of immigration) because of events in europe between 1933 and 1945. Therefore from both sides the weight of the blame does not fall on the palestinians but on British Policy and corruption and the political climate of europe during the 4th and 5th decades of the 20th century. Even to the degree that one can parse out Arab Jews and the original turkic settlements in the North, these Jews often were disenfranchised by the way 'things went down'.

There are claims by Jews of mistreatment and massacres under the palestinians; however, there was one sniper from syria and a couple of malcontents noted during the period from 1918 to 1928 and the escalation of crime thereafter follows the deviation of British policy from their promises and the illegal immigration. To state it more properly, we in the U.S. would be envious of the level of crime in palestine between 1918 and 1928 if we rely on the British documents. Crime was so sparse during the period they had taken to reports of 'bread theft' and 'juvenile disobediance'. At the time turkic law was incredibly strick and the palestinians had a habit of strict law obidiance, and according to custom it was forbidden to attack a Jew because jews were the children of Moses, the chosen people. Crimes against jews took place elsewhere in the arab world or because of outside arabs (Wahabbist) attacking

Re: *HURRAY!* Google Groups gets act together

settlements close to the dead sea, Jews often attribute these to palestine during the early Mandate period, simply did not occur.

The documents and reports and questioning of the British at the League of Nation are interesting and informative. Anyone who thinks they know the cause of the conflict in Palestine should read these documents first, particularly in the U.S. the actual written records of the prewar period are never discussed leading the palestinians to claim there is a Jewish bias in the american media. Indeed the secret policy of the British was not made known until 1974, and it was not widely reported in the U.S. Whenever they are discussed such as here you hear the rhetoric by zionist of 'its just a conspiracy theory' or 'Geeze the british were unaware of the level of illegal immigration, it was european policies that caused the illegal immigration and not the British supervision' etc. And yet the British do know who was secretly sending arms to Israel and they know who secretly financed those arms shipments and they also know its the same person who the secret policy letter was sent to during the 1920s. Therefore this was beyond a passive policy or incompetence, there was active political background on the part of the west for increasing violence in Palestine.

I want to know, was it the British and Jewish interest who skillfully predicted the terrorism, or was it the British and Jewish extremist who were the terrorist and sponsoring the terrorist. And now the pandoras box is open, where are the British to close it? How much money are the Rothchilds going to give displaced palestians for lost land, for stolen public lands, for loss of religious and other cultural sites?

The question does not need to be answered because we can judge the tree by the fruit it bears. Since the doctrine began to take affect the concern as been to ignore the claims of the palestinians and take heart the Jewish claims of 'security' and 'homeland'. This expansion of the Jewish regions of palestine is both pre-Isreal and post Israel with the Jews simply adopting the underhanded policies of the British. This has continued unabridged as the population of Israel has increased, the only pull backs have been in Sinai and the recent pullback in Gaza. A retrospective look at Israel would not claim that all Israelis are Zionist, but a glance of terroristic Israelis from the 30s 40s and 50s might conclude that the direction of the government was in the direction of Zionist extremist, and their actions cannot refute this either. However even the Extremist need to realize there is an eventuality to a palestinian state, and the real issue is how to contain its size while not diluting the political will of Israeli Jews. The feeling among the Israeli elite has not changed. The palestinian population is now growing, and the international community is calling for representative

Re: *HURRAY!* Google Groups gets act together

democracy which included palestinians. If the Jews do not separate palestinians they will eventually be forced to give them the right to vote. And so now the Jewish goal is to keep as much of their seized land as possible while simultaneously partitioning palestinians on as densely population areas as possible. Gaza fits that bill for now.

While Israel claims it is a democracy, more than 2/3rds of the people living in its held territories cannot vote for the leadership which controls that land. The Jews absolutely refuse any form of government that question their authority over their homeland (i.e. the currently defined state, implicitly large areas of the west bank).

What we would call a 'non-representative' government.

Also. One point of reflection, what would the US have done if Jews declared their own Country in New York City or in southern Florida. Now imagine if they had 100 or 200 atomic bombs pointed at our most developed cities. Waddayathink our response would be, love or hatred? And how about this, they would be encroaching on independance hall, plymouth rock, minuteman national park and the feild at gettysburg.

In such situations life is much easier with Hand over eyes.

The other side of the equation is this. The arabs have repeatedly claimed they wished to push the Jews into the mediterranean sea, to remove from existence the Jewish state. I would supposed the pragmatist among the extremist would be tolerant of the held territories pre-1933 (which in essence would push them into a small corner of the mandate), arabs often have a zeal for hyperboles. Again there are claims by some jews that the palestinians should be forced out of the west bank and gaza, that the entire state was granted to them by god, and that islamic arabs hold key jewish cities and ruins, traditionally however gaza was under the jurisdiction of egypt or other empires during most of the period of Jewish historical occupation. There were even some arabs that claimed Hitler was appropriately dealing with the Jews. The Jews use these claims as an excuse for the taking of land for security reasons, however, I think most of the readers who go through the LoN documents might understand the emotions behind these very hideous statements. The critical element in the policy is the ententions of the Arabs in 1948-49 war, and whether consistent policy now between the principles (Syria, Jordon, Iraq and Egypt) will be in accord with this policy or not. Only one country of the three fails to recognize the right for the Jewish state to exist, and currently its activities are devoted to Iraq.

Even syria would probably back off its current policy if a palestinian state in accord with the current authority is

Re: *HURRAY!* Google Groups gets act together

brought into place and Jewish settlements are widely pulled back. The situation with Iraq and Israel is currently a non-issue, but I suspect that after america leaves Iraq will break apart, the Sunnis becoming a political ally of syria but without their monetary resource base. The Shia becoming part of Iran or allied to Iran, who could raise trouble with Israel, worse with southern iraq hundreds of miles closer to Israel. The Kurds would not engage in the issue at all as there principle concern would be the turks and Sunnis. The bedoins of S. Iraq are in essence the same bedoins of Saudi Arabia, during the early mandate period the Wahabbis under bin ladin's family organized raids of Israel, the British mandate of Jordon was organized to stop them, and after the war they broke from britian but the united states supports Jordon and its army for essentially the same purpose. There were about 120,000 bedoins that moved in and out of palestine during the period of 1920s lost the rights as Jordon hunted down and exterminated many and convinced the remainder to settle (i.e. join the jordanian forces) or leave the western semite region altogether (i.e. Sinai and S. Arabia). Currently the extremist of this population have globalized and so the issue is no longer Israel and bedohins its the West and Wahhabistic Islam. It is critical to understand that culturally the southern semites are the oldest and most culturally similar to ancient semites, those that remain free roaming nomads have changed little except in areas were oil has developed. We tend to think of the Jews as being Tenaceous peoples. The Bedohinds are even more tenacious in their beliefs and culture. This is something Mohammad saw as he reflected on his travels into the levant, tribalistic beliefs run very deeply. While Mecca became a center for cultural changes, these centers moved to constinople, turkic republics and iraq, and eventually the region reverted to in essence the formal traditions with a new variation. The peoples surrounding this religious icon may not neccesarily represent the common beliefs of the muslim world, and their customs may influence others like the taliban to take on more tribal beliefs. There is in essence no way to change these beliefs without changing the climate of Arabia. While we take these beliefs as offensive to use, the longer term survival of bedohins is what really matters, it is a harsh life with restrictive and harsh rules. I read an article in a palestinian newspaper concerning Wahhabiism with 2 countering points of view, I had grave concerns even with the moderate statements of the clergy, and clearly these are a minority. At issue to Israel is the concepts of Mecca idolatry as conceptualized under the Pillars of Faith in Islam and the principle issues of holy sites and shrines and the occupancy of foriegners, non-arabs or non-muslims at these sites. One of the critical points that I hear is that moderates want to make peace with Jews, but they seem

Re: *HURRAY!* Google Groups gets act together

Re: *HURRAY!* Google Groups gets act together

to have a problem with what "jews" are, whereas the extremist are very blunt in arguing that a 'western jew' is not a Jew and that these holy lands are for arabs, a sort of extremist precrusadic belief. Wahhabism is not specifically a Jewish problem, its simply that Israel will continue to be a hotspot of contention for wahhabist, something the diasporic Jews cannot change even under a reduced sized Israeli state. Wahhabist have shown however they will engage soft targets, and Israel is not that soft. They may not target Israel but will target Israeli interest over seas, the World Trade Center as sort of a representation of Jewish power in America. Syria was once the center for Pan-Arabism, and extremist in Syria run the spectrum of Islamic beliefs and idiosyncracies, which one finds similar beliefs as Wahhabism. Whether or not the government of Syria recognizes these beliefs is secondary to the issue that it tolerates them and sponsors them when convinient. Changing the policies of Syria is plausible with alot of work on Israel's part. I think it is rather clear after the skism between Syria and Egypt following the 1972 war that Pan Arabism will never work, thus this particular interest of a mechanism of forcing out Israel or forcing them to become culturally arab will not work. Changing the lost grazing lands of wahhabist bedohins remains a key issue.

The bottom line here is that Jewish immigration triggered understandable feelings among Muslims and arabs and the development of the Israeli state is something forced upon them be trickery, manipulation and wars (some of their own perilous creation), these are not conspiracy theories, most of the common claims are supported by league of nations documentation. Other terrorist issues are not under this umbrella, and represented deeply seated tribal beliefs and mythos that precedes Jewish immigration and is rooted in the geographical needs of nomadic peoples, issues that will resolve as internationalism obviates the nomadic lifestyle.

I want to also border this issue of Wahhabiism and not necessarily deal with the internationalized version of it. The issue of backward peoples and tribal beliefs have to be taken into perspective. I was watching CBS last night on the Duron peoples of the Andaman chain. It is apparent that this culture uniformly was aware that a tsunami was about to happen and fled. Within this they have a mythos that protects them, accordingly the God of sea gets hungry over many years and comes up to feed, 7 waves he consumes houses and boats and foolish people allowing the peoples that remain to rejuvenate themselves and refresh their surroundings. Not to altogether different from the semetic flood myth. A critical point that these people sea when they look at others, particularly those that perished is a 'greedy sophistry' and I would add often

Re: *HURRAY!* Google Groups gets act together

Re: *HURRAY!* Google Groups gets act together

reliant on technologies they don't understand and of course think more highly of than they actually are. In Israel one has the pentultimate technology based state, the perception of events that transpired, again public lands taken by immigrants and entrepreneurs and developed fall into the catagory of 'greedy sophistry'. And among the bible one finds both christian and judean ethos, particularly the book of Job, that go after these activities. From western point of veiw these indigeonous peoples just disappear as we move in, we are not clear where they go.

The grandchildren of the man who was telling them to retreat up the island called the man a liar, and he finally had to show them the sea was acting strangely they understood danger might occur. This is a transition between the old and replacement cultures where these children hire into modern societies and adapt. The backdrop of course to our flood myth is in the bible, corruption, non-beleif in god, and concerns about accumulations of wealth. What point I am trying to make here is perspective, what is terrorism and what constitutes immoral behavior can be veiwed from different perspectives. >From the standpoint of an indigeonous people who are adherant to their traditions for survival reasons, one has to make it clear to these people not only is advancement going to benifit them (as Israelis believe the palestinians should understand) but also that these benefits will be long lasting and non-catastrophic in nature, and in very tenaceous peoples with long history of oral traditions, proof may take many decades. There is a beleif in western society that society can continue to improve as it has, but by the same token all these advancements are based on a non-renewable surrogates for labor. A sceptical child can look at the issue and claim that western social strategies will fail, it would be better to resist change, and the west and the east, in fact, is doing everything it can to reinforce those beliefs. So that the saying reform you own house first before trying to reform others houses is something to consider in the general treatment of arab societies, and consider the very long held written and oral traditions for the region, we can go back to the bible and see what advancement has sometimes brought.

Many in the west see an obvious black/white situation, emotions are at play and fundamentalism has gotten involved, however clueless it is to the situation in the middle east. However the common experiences of middle easterners is one of watching foriegn 'pest' invade and be pushed out, in general unless the foriegn bring something of long lasting value (such as wheat) they are eventually pushed out. Their contribution is diluted. Our ideas in the west have a tremendous and astronomically amplified volume which spreads its short term wisdoms all over the world; however, we don't frequently ask the question whether it will stand the test of time, whether

Re: *HURRAY!* Google Groups gets act together

these peoples will at some point snickering under breath about our catastrophic failure. And also not to mention that our activities, begun in malice or trickery often end under malicious circumstances or fall apart under the weight of its own corruption (such as the Ottoman empire which triggered the events). The guy who stands at halftime rolling his distended painted beer belly in front of cameras at a losing team is often not seen at the end of the game after the losers rally and double the score over their opponents with their inevitable victory.

-
- Prev by Date: [*Supernova 1987A: Fast Forward to the Past \(Forwarded\)*](#)
 - Next by Date: [*Re: CMBR and neutron stars*](#)
 - Previous by thread: [*Re: *HURRAY!* Google Groups gets act together*](#)
 - Next by thread: [*EC-funded Research Visits: Application Deadline 31/08/2005*](#)
 - Index(es):
 - ◆ [*Date*](#)
 - ◆ [*Thread*](#)