

Re: En Nahud, Sudan yesterday

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.astro/2007-02/msg00146.html>

- *From:* "gb6724@xxxxxxxx" <gb6724@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 6 Feb 2007 08:49:00 -0800
-

On Feb 6, 9:22 am, "gb6...@xxxxxxxx" <gb6...@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

Bush sees one thing, a simple logic can tell otherwise.

A gas engine is stronger than an electric.

Fuel cell technology is 60 percent efficient, meaning the energy produced by the electric engine powered by hydrogen fuel cell (producing electricity out of hydrogen) could be used to generate 60 percent of the hydrogen back.

Clearly elementary school kids can see that a gasoline engine is more powerful than an electric engine. When hydrogen produces electricity, the electricity is used for running an electric motor.

But since hydrogen can be used as a replacement to gasoline as hydrogen gas is highly explosive as oline gas, a burning hydrogen is expected to produce multiples of energy compared to the power of an electric engine.

The only reason hydrogen is not used is because its production in a chemical plant is more expensive that the price of gas (roughly double).

Simple assumptions:

An engine burning hydrogen gas produces more energy than an electric engine.

A lot of processes done in a chemical factory (including handling, the cost to maintain a company, taxes, salaries, packaging, delivery costs can be avoided if the hydrogen is produced inside a car by getting it from water using the energy of the hydrogen powered combustion engine via a powerful electrolysis).

The produced hydrogen gas from the electrolysis fed directly to the combustion engine (no need to compress and store the hydrogen as liquid, this too saves cost and energy).

Re: En Nahud, Sudan yesterday

One can calculate the overall energy equivalent to a full tank of gas.

A full tank of gas is equivalent to 5 times the size (but much lighter) liquid hydrogen gas tank. Liquid hydrogen is measured by the kilogram. The output is clean electric energy. Its cost to fill using current technologies is more than double to gasoline, and the output energy may be sufficiently strong, but has weaker engine in terms of electric horsepower than a gasoline engine. Electric hydrogen fuel cell technology is used in some busses in Chicago.

Simple logic: If hydrogen can be used as a replacement to gasoline and produce the same power, then a combustion engine's power should produce more energy than an electric engine. True or false? True.

Believe in the truth, not in the lie.

So, we have a combustion engine running on hydrogen gas which can produce more energy than the energy of a 'running' fuel-cell engine.

A full tank of gas would burn in roughly the same time if using fuel cell or burning the hydrogen as gas, in both cases the full tank may need to be larger than gasoline tanks for storage.

The energy produced by this engine is clearly not strong enough to reproduce the same amount of hydrogen in a fuel cell-driven electric engine, but I question the same for a much more powerful combustion engine where the hydrogen gas that is highly explosive burns. I am using the power of exploding hydrogen gas, not an electrical or a chemical, but the energy derived from an exploding power of hydrogen gas that runs the engine as the exploding power of gasoline. One uses very little hydrogen gas to produce a powerful explosion that drives the combustion engine at high power.

There is no perpetual mobile here as energy exists and 'not converted', but uses an existing resource and comes from burning hydrogen (which is highly explosive and this explosive power is used to run a combustion engine).

The binding energy of H and O depends on a lot of environmental factors.

For example, one can extract water out of air.

Re: En Nahud, Sudan yesterday

Re: En Nahud, Sudan yesterday

Air has humidity. To extract this water, air needs to be compressed sufficiently. That's how air conditioners work. During summer in high heats, the air conditioner produces a lot of water. It is easier to extract water in the summer from the air by compressing the air. At some occasions, water is easier to extract than in others from the air, and water is easier extracted when it is a warmer humid air by compression, meaning less energy is needed to produce water from air by compression if the air is warm and humid. An ordinary air conditioner can extract an impressive amount of water from the air if the air is heated but not overheated 50 percent humidity and 50 degrees Celcius the water flows from the air conditioner, that is when air conditioners can often burn out when running at maximum power in very hot places. But even in Nevada where it can be 60 degrees Celsius in the Summer and hardly any humidity, the heat allows water to come as a waste product from an air conditioner (that 10 percent humidity just pours like water in the 60C temperature, and doesn't sit around for years like cactuses.)

Energy even for electrolysis depends on the environment. Very cold air cannot be used to extract water from air, or a lot more energy is needed for compressing the air to get water. Some environmental circumstances are better than others for using little energy to gain access to the needed resource, like hydrogen in the air or water.

One bases this technology based on an existing car, and intends to replace the power with hydrogen gas. A running motor produces heat, and this heat is used as a heater in cars. The heat of the motor can be used to warm the air so water can be extracted from the air at a needed speed, even when humidity in the air is 10%.

Of course this car is designed to hold water in the gas tank, so if it all goes dry, one would rely on having to pump water into the car's gasoline tank.

Yes it is possible with energy to extract water from the air.

Yes it is possible using electricity to produce hydrogen out of water (at 60 percent energy efficiency).

Re: En Nahud, Sudan yesterday

Re: En Nahud, Sudan yesterday

Yes it is possible to use hydrogen gas as a replacement for gasoline engines.

Yes a combustion engine is more powerful than an electric engine (for the same amount of fuel). As being more powerful, it can make up for that 40 percent 'electric' efficiency, as the power of exploding hydrogen for an engine is stronger than the power of an electric current. Here energy is burned, there it flows. There is a difference in energy output.

Now energy as electric, and energy as burning/ combustion. What is the difference?

Electric energy is stored as a differential, like a battery, burning hydrogen is not the same.

The most important is that the two have nothing to do with each other. Fuel cell cannot be compared to burning hydrogen. One cannot have the same formula. However the combustion energy of hydrogen since more explosive, more powerful as a chemical process, should produce enough for a specific need to extract hydrogen from the air.

.