

Re: En Nahud, Sudan yesterday

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.astro/2007-02/msg00148.html>

- *From:* "gb6724@xxxxxxxx" <gb6724@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 6 Feb 2007 09:12:02 -0800
-

On Feb 6, 10:00 am, "gb6...@xxxxxxxx" <gb6...@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Feb 6, 9:49 am, "gb6...@xxxxxxxx" <gb6...@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Feb 6, 9:22 am, "gb6...@xxxxxxxx" <gb6...@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

Bush sees one thing, a simple logic can tell otherwise.

A gas engine is stronger than an electric.

Fuel cell technology is 60 percent efficient, meaning the energy produced by the electric engine powered by hydrogen fuel cell (producing electricity out of hydrogen) could be used to generate 60 percent of the hydrogen back.

Clearly elementary school kids can see that a gasoline engine is more powerful than an electric engine. When hydrogen produces electricity, the electricity is used for running an electric motor.

Re: En Nahud, Sudan yesterday

But since hydrogen can be used as a replacement to gasoline as hydrogen gas is highly explosive as oline gas, a burning hydrogen is expected to produce multiples of energy compared to the power of an electric engine.

The only reason hydrogen is not used is because its production in a chemical