

# Re: Why Pluto can only be a planet

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.astro/2007-07/msg00089.html>

---

- *From:* gb6726 <gb6726@xxxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Wed, 04 Jul 2007 11:09:44 -0700
- 

On Jul 4, 11:51 am, gb6726 <gb6...@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Jul 4, 11:48 am, gb6726 <gb6...@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

Basically what they are removing if they are removing Saturn with it's moons, is they are removing a planet. (given Saturn's orbit would cross paths with Jupiter's)

They are removing a planet. The sentence in itself uses the word planet.

Pluto has it's own self-defined course around the Sun. Pluto is a large round object with Moons, a course that crosses with Neptune's orbit.

That's all a definition of a planet needs.

The word Planet is round.

You know and I go with this over to sci.astro.research and they say I have no scientific basis, no references, no scientific research materials. It happens that they would rather kill than be susceptible.

Re: Why Pluto can only be a planet

It can only mean one thing: There is corruption in the high-academic institutions, not like that's an old things. Beaucrocity where it shouldn't be, I am not talking of the politburo sci.astro.research group.

.