

Re: Nearby stars—why do they start at 4LY?

Re: Nearby stars—why do they start at 4LY?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.astro/2008-07/msg00267.html>

- *From:* "Androcles" <Headmaster@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sun, 20 Jul 2008 22:41:57 +0100
-

"John C. Polasek" <jpolasek@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:5b9784dng0s0hkgu94con8409ur7kiatqg@xxxxxxxx
| Wiki et al show tables of the first 20 or 50 stars nearest the earth.
| The distances for the first few are
| 4.3 6.0 7.7 8.4 8.6 9.4 10.4 LY
| 1.7 1.7 .7 .2 1.2 1 the differences
| Why are there no stars from 0 to 4.3 LY? From the difference list
| there should be at least 2 closer than 4.3.
| These are probably the few that can be measured using the parallactic
| effect. It just doesn't seem likely that such a void exists.
| John Polasek

Why are you a cretin? There should be at least 55 morons
writing to sci.astro ahead of you and you the closest. The next
poster is at least an hour away from you, it just doesn't seem
likely that such a void exists.
Look, imbecile, that's the way it IS, there is no "why" to it.