

## Re: Three roles of "the population".

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.bio.evolution/2005-02/0800.html>

---

**From:** Perplexed in Peoria ([jimmenegay\\_at\\_sbcglobal.net](mailto:jimmenegay_at_sbcglobal.net))

**Date:** 02/26/05

Date: Fri, 25 Feb 2005 23:57:07 -0500 (EST)

"Name And Address Supplied" <[name\\_and\\_address\\_supplied@hotmail.com](mailto:name_and_address_supplied@hotmail.com)> wrote in message news:cvnrl7\$1vgh\$1@darwin.ediacara.org...

> *"Perplexed in Peoria" <jimmenegay@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message news:<cvm8f1\$1fbv\$1@darwin.ediacara.org>...*

>> *It occurs to me that some of the confusion regarding kin selection and group selection occurs because of a failure to distinguish the three different roles that "the population" plays in our models. There are really three different "kinds" of populations.*

>>

>> *One is the "breeding population". [snip]*

>>

>> *A second is the "competitive population". Density dependent selection acts to keep the size of this population constant. [snip]*

>>

>> *The third is the "social population". [snip]*

>

> *I'd add a fourth form, and infact this is the only one that I would refer to as 'the population'. It is the grouping of conspecifics in which we are interested in focussing the problem upon. It is with respect to this population that we measure allele / phenotype frequencies. This is the population whose evolutionary change we are ultimately interested in.*

>

Hmmm. At the risk of sounding like Edser here ...

If your fourth kind of population is different from my second kind – the "competitive population" – why are you measuring allele frequencies rather than allele population sizes? I.e. why deal with relative fitnesses rather than absolute fitnesses?

> *The breeding population is usually referred to as 'deme'. I tend to refer to the competitive population as 'arena of competition' or equivalent. And the social population is usually referred to as a 'social group'.*

I agree that you have identified the standard terms for my first and third kinds of "populations". It was not my intent to replace these

sci.bio.evolution: Re: Three roles of "the population".

standard terms. I only refer to them as kinds of "populations" in order to put all three concepts on the same "stage" so that they can be compared.