

Re: The Objectivity of Science

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.bio.evolution/2005-12/msg00215.html>

- *From:* "g" <gillawton@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sun, 18 Dec 2005 22:43:48 -0500 (EST)
-

"Jim McGinn" <jimmcginn@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
[news:dncst\\$275p\\$1@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:dncst$275p$1@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

> I'm hesitant to stir up this bee's nest.

>

Jim,

I empathize warmly and abundantly with that.

This news group is about EVOLUTIONARY BIOLOGY, in which I am at once enormously interested enormously ignorant and enormously desirous of learning about it as it REALLY IS.

In my family (out three generations and to first-cousin level, alone) there are multiple individuals working in "the sciences," (assuming we agree that physicians, surgeons, organic chemists, intra-cellular researchers and space reentry vehicle design quality control... are "scientists' at work"). Also among us are some clergymen and one missionary. In fact One of us is BOTH a clergyman and a scientist, and very astute on matters of both. Yet none of us... not one... finds it necessary to lie to ourselves or others to reconcile the two.

We are not INSECURE in ourselves and do not feel threatened by any search for understanding.

The search for understanding takes an open mind and does NOT attempt to promote discord among others who seek understanding, by sewing distortions and lies.

Thank you, Jim, for commenting. Your silence on the subject may speak more eloquently than my words.

g

- *Follow-Ups:*
 - ◆ *Re: The Objectivity of Science*
 - ◇ *From: Jim McGinn*

- *References:*
 - ◆ *The Objectivity of Science*
 - ◇ *From: whitesickle@xxxxxxx*
 - ◆ *Re: The Objectivity of Science*
 - ◇ *From: Jim McGinn*

- Prev by Date: *Re: Shall we get rid of our junk?*
- Next by Date: *Re: Shall we get rid of our junk?*
- Previous by thread: *Re: The Objectivity of Science*
- Next by thread: *Re: The Objectivity of Science*
- Index(es):
 - ◆ *Date*
 - ◆ *Thread*