

# Re: Sex bias in Biological hypotheses

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.bio.evolution/2006-05/msg00068.html>

---

- *From:* "Peter F" <19eimc\_minus19@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Wed, 10 May 2006 12:11:12 -0400 (EDT)
- 

"Tom Hendricks" <tomhendricks474@xxxxxx> wrote in message  
[news:e3nve1\\$1ekq\\$1@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](news:e3nve1$1ekq$1@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

PF,  
I've lost your meaning here. Could you state your response more simply.

Sorry for being so self-serving in how I stuff sentences together.  
It was just another of my playful attempts to make my usual point.  
Which is:  
To point to what I know what is most amiss with the 'picture' of ourselves  
that  
(some) people — \*not especially you\* who seems closer than most  
to being as EPT (~=to an EPT-specific kind of Enlightenment Proximal  
Thinker)  
as I' ;-> -- purport or superficially appear to try to paint.

That is, piece together using what the 'process of Science' keeps  
generating;  
Or (as I tried to put it in my reply to your post) to "plot" with "dots"  
(i.e. plot with data and more or less half-baked interpretations of facts)  
that I typically refer to (with sardonically silly insinuating  
sEPTic-humored sem\_antics) as "'SEPTIC' informational  
matter.

It is part of my AEVASIVE style to make rEPTilian (ironic)  
suggestions to people that they might think of such 'SEPTIC stuff'  
as the solidly (scientifically) established principles, theories,  
interpretations and conclusions that the process of Science  
(as a most broadly defined whole) deposits into  
'SEPTIC think tanks' as if to be 'further digested'  
EVEN THOUGH – ironically enough – nearly all people lack  
(as I can logical prove nearly all of us do – e.g. as per  
the single paragraph supplied below)  
the required "pEPTic juices" to do so.

## Re: Sex bias in Biological hypotheses

The bioevolutionary branches of Science deals with aspects of What Is (something rather than no\_thing) that can be very difficult (or perhaps impossible) to achieve a 'Position Of Overview on' (~= a philosophical perception a plotted outline of something recognizably coherent, unifying, and ultimately meaningful) unless we can force ourselves to accept that one has to adopt a concept as \*distinktly\* unpleasant to understand as Specific/synaptic Hibernation Imploring Type Situations" (come CURSES).

I suggest to you (as I do to almost everyone) that it is important to take into account that SHITS (type lifetime challenges) frequently form, and that the naturally selcetive effect of this has been extended by that relevant kinds of concomitant conditioning of neurons assures that CURSES can be stored and exert an important biasing further phylogenetic and psychophysiological pressure in: our relatively recent evolutionary history, in the \*development\* and daily dynamics of people's societies, cultures, and personalities; and, ongoingly, during the 'realtime performance' of people's individual "Actention Selecting Systems" (i.e. ~= brains).

All this (and more) is what we should (in my AEVASIVE but least of all inEPT opinion) build-in to any 'meta-model' of ourselves (and relevant aspect of What Is going on).

Lastly, here is the logical core of EPT in a nut-shell:

-----  
The attractor / heuristic principle  
(in phylogeny of multi-cellular fauna)  
that I am so often referring to or implying,  
is and has been caused by situations  
(situations that have obviously been very  
common during the lifetimes of viable individual  
'candidates for ancestorhood')  
that naturally selected for individual phenotypes  
with a capacity \*to keep on\* perceiving and moving  
towards, and to exploit, environmentally offered  
procreative (or procreation promoting)  
opportunities \*\*WHILST\*\* the same individual phenotypes  
were concurrently naturally challenged and  
'pruned in' by adverse environmental features/factors  
of an inescapable kind.  
-----

Peter

