

# Re: Evolutionist withholds evidence on Haldane's Dilemma

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.bio.evolution/2007-04/msg00127.html>

---

- *From:* "jessica.lovering@xxxxxxxx" <jessica.lovering@xxxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Tue, 17 Apr 2007 13:58:02 -0400 (EDT)
- 

Actually, this is common practice in the scientific process. While it is essential that scientists share their data, code, and models with other scientists at request, sharing it with the general public is not required. By you not publishing in peer-reviewed journals, you have not proven that you are capable of using the simulations correctly. You could misuse them, or misunderstand the results, and promote this "flaw" in your findings. If you wanted to collaborate with Nunney, he might be more open to the idea. But giving someone who is untrained your data is laughable. The reason this is the norm in science is that if you were capable of using this simulation, you should be capable of creating your own based on his paper. Most peer-reviewed papers are detailed enough, providing assumptions and methods, that anyone should be able to re-create the simulation.

On Apr 16, 11:25 am, "Walter ReMine" <scie...@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

Evolutionist withholds evidence on Haldane's Dilemma

For many years I have publicly claimed Haldane's Dilemma is a major unsolved problem for evolution. A problem so severe it threatens macroevolution as a "fact" and evolutionary genetics as an empirical science. Toward a solution, evolutionary geneticist, Leonard Nunney, published a paper reporting his computer simulations. He claimed his computer simulations show rates of beneficial evolution much faster than the Haldane limit. Evolutionists now cite Nunney's computer simulation as a refutation of my position.

Starting December 19, 2006, I sent emails to Prof. Nunney, expressing my interest in his paper, and requesting access to his simulation software. After several emails, across several months, I eventually reached Professor Nunney by phone on April 5th. He acknowledged he had received my emails, and said he had not responded because I "do not publish in peer-reviewed journals." (his words)

I again requested his software for my examination of his published results and methods. He declined, saying he will not share his software with "people who do not publish in peer-reviewed journals." (his words)

## Re: Evolutionist withholds evidence on Haldane's Dilemma

I'm sure Prof. Nunney is a fine person, but this is bad public policy. Nunney's simulation is claimed as a solution to Haldane's Dilemma. (Quite falsely, I would add.) And Nunney's work was done at a tax-supported institution, (the University of California, Riverside). And Haldane's Dilemma is part of a high profile public controversy directly affecting our politics, and our public schools – where evolutionists exert monopoly control. Evolutionists have an obligation to be forthcoming on the matter. Yet they are withholding their evidence.

Haldane's Dilemma is a scandal several decades long already – and the scandal keeps getting worse.

-- Walter

ReMine [http://SaintPaulScience.com/withholding\\_evidence.htm](http://SaintPaulScience.com/withholding_evidence.htm) <http://SaintPaulScience.com/Haldane.htm>