

Re: Evolution is NOT random

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.bio.evolution/2008-06/msg00111.html>

- *From:* John Edser <edser@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Mon, 23 Jun 2008 18:07:42 -0400 (EDT)
-

Paul Ciszek wrote:

The moderator wrote:
As you all know, I regard "intelligent design" as crypto-, or not so crypto-, creationism. Hence, discussion about it doesn't belong in this newsgroup.

Hmm. What about:
Lee Smolin: The Life of the Cosmos;
<http://www.amazon.co.uk/Life-Cosmos-Lee-Smolin/dp/075380123X/>
James Gardner: Biocosm;
<http://www.amazon.co.uk/Biocosm-Scientific-Evolution-Intelligent-Architect/dp/1930722222/>

James Gardner: Intelligent-Universe;
<http://www.amazon.com/Intelligent-Universe-Emerging-Mind-Cosmos/dp/1564149196/>

"Intelligent Design" as the term is used in the US right now refers to a fraud. Those who invented "Intelligent Design" do not believe it, it is merely a tool for them to use against the teaching of evolution in public schools. See: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wedge_document

JE:-
Hi Paul,

The only valid criticism of ID that I know of is that it cannot be falsified. Belief/disbelief has little to do with the sciences but everything to do with group based politics. Sadly, most of the criticism of ID that is made within the sciences remains rhetorical. The reason why falsifiability has been removed from evolutionary theory is because Neo Darwinism cannot be falsified. Mathematics is not a science.

Re: Evolution is NOT random

Regards,

John Edser
Independent Researcher

edser@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx