

Re: Apropos the "Cost of natural selection revisited"

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.bio.evolution/2009-05/msg00002.html>

- *From:* "Entertained by my own EIMC Internetal Ptd. Lty." <ei_spamtrap_mc@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Fri, 1 May 2009 11:58:54 -0400 (EDT)
-

I just would like add just a couple of things:

All sanely suggested (clearly highly plausible or obviously realistic) not just "not mutually exclusive" but even complementary (NOT complimentary!!!) environmental (and inevitably to have been naturally selective/pruning) pressures (challenges of all in principle prospective progenitors in the phylogeny of fauna) that can and have been conceived of should obviously be accepted as part of any science-aligned and most encompassing possible explanatory philosophical take on how we evolved to be how we now are.

I can only reluctantly concede that individuals who indulge in needless – as in, never-to-be beneficially insight-evoking and/or obviously never to be put into any kind of 'anthropic ALQholism increasing' practise – philosophical and/or mathematical 'needle-work' on (or to do with) some aspect of how we evolved HAVE THE RIGHT TO DO SO.

However, I promise to never voluntarily finance any such superfluous efforts! %-{
(The seemingly perpetual revisitngs of "the cost of natural selection" being one such effortful preoccupation that I had in mind.)

"Entertained by my own EIMC Internetal Ptd. Lty."
<ei_spamtrap_mc@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
[news:gtck34\\$1c81\\$1@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:gtck34$1c81$1@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

Our evolution was well affordable. :-) After all, What Is has an overabundance of energy, plenty enough permutability, and in an absolute sense no timely constraints.
:-)

BTW, how we were naturally selected within the evolutionary pressure (or patterning) totality is most aptly understood from a position of overview that includes the perspective of how us folk evolved to be the most "AEVASIVE" species of all fauna.

Re: Apropos the "Cost of natural selection revisited"

The approximate but appropriately allusive abbreviation AEVASIVE may be explained as a way of referring to that and how we exemplify a by an accumulation of "ambiadvantageous" adaptations evolved, exceptionally versatile – not the least verbally – "attention (selection serving) system" incorporating various "endoopiates".

Ther