

## Re: Periodic Table

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.chem/2004-07/0524.html>

---

**From:** Andrew Usher ([k\\_over\\_hbarc\\_at\\_yahoo.com](mailto:k_over_hbarc_at_yahoo.com))

**Date:** 07/14/04

Date: 13 Jul 2004 18:17:12 -0700

Fred Kasner <[fkasner@sdf.lonestar.org](mailto:fkasner@sdf.lonestar.org)> wrote in message news:<[ccv2fq\\$8ii\\$7@chessie.cirr.com](mailto:ccv2fq$8ii$7@chessie.cirr.com)>...

> > *I believe that the way to see truly these trends is to examine the*  
> > *same reactions or compounds across different elements, both*  
> > *horizontally and vertically. This is what seems to not be done, and is*  
> > *likely to result in the student not seeing the great scheme.*  
>  
> > *Is it that chemistry professionals don't or can't see the beauty in*  
> > *the periodic arrangement, and how it integrates all of chemistry? Or,*  
> > *is it that the process of becoming a research chemist stamps out such*  
> > *thinking? I'd like to know how this applies to other sciences, too,*  
> > *such as physics.*  
>  
> > *Andrew Usher*  
>  
> *Similar kinds of analyses have been employed by chemists but usually only*  
> *at the graduate level where the knowledge the students bring to the table*  
> *is considerable. Henry Taube, then at the U. of Chicago did this in my*  
> *graduate course in inorganic chemistry. It was so detailed that we got only*  
> *through about 1/4 to 1/3 of the table in that course back in the '50's.*  
> *FK*

Now this sound interesting. If there was something similar available,  
I think I'd buy it.

Andrew Usher