

Re: Balls of Napoleon

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.chem/2004-09/1111.html>

From: hanson (hanson_at_quick.net)

Date: 09/28/04

Date: Tue, 28 Sep 2004 20:22:53 GMT

"Dirk Bruere at Neopax" <dirk@neopax.com> wrote in message
news:2rtq5cF1dgrrvU1@uni-berlin.de...

> > [Uncle Al wrote:]

> >>>>The Paris kilogram is solid platiridium alloy.

> >>>>It is about the size of a 35 mm film cannister.

> >>>>

> > [Maleki]

> >>>>39cm, I read it, in height and diameter. Halliday Resnick.

> >>>>

> >>>>[hanson]

> >>>>Where? on what page and in which edition does it say "39cm"?

> >>>>

> > [Maleki]

> >>"Fundamentals of Physics", by Halliday, Resnick, and Walker,

> >>Fifth Edition, page 7, caption to the picture of the

> >>standard mass says, "Figure 1-6 The international 1 kg

> >>standard of mass, a cylinder 39 cm in height and in

> >>diameter."

> >>

> Mohammed Farooq wrote:

> > Please check other standard texts too before making such claims.

> > Though Halliday and Resnick write "cylinder 39 cm in height and in

> > diameter.", it is 39 mm high, as someone else has pointed out.

> > Follow this link

> > <http://anthrax.physics.indiana.edu/~dzierba/Honors/Week1/Welcome.html>

> > and read the "Honors Physics Problem".

> > "Look at the figure caption for Fig. 1-6 in your text. It shows the 1

> > kg standard of mass and describes it as a "cylinder 39 cm in height

> > and in diameter."

> > This has got to be crazy – right ? If it were a cylinder of these

> > dimensions and had the density of water – how much would it

> > weigh ? Do you think the author mixed up cm and mm ? "

>

[Dirk]

> Since it's so close to 39.37 it must be inches and

> hence is really the standard metre...

>

[hanson]

Actually, it is MALEKI's standard meter,
by which he measures his small Peter.

He jerks and jerks and moans
while beating his Napoleons.

He does it on a public lawn
while being kissed by Farrakhan

ahahaha.....ahahanson