

Re: HOW FORD PLANS TO CUT AUTO EMISSIONS

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.chem/2004-10/0599.html>

From: Dirk Bruere at Neopax (*dirk_at_neopax.com*)

Date: 10/11/04

Date: Mon, 11 Oct 2004 18:38:49 +0100

hanson wrote:

> *"Dirk Bruere at Neopax" <dirk@neopax.com> wrote in message*
> *news:2svosiF1qb1r3U1@uni-berlin.de...*
>
>>>*news:2svl71F1qds3dU1@uni-berlin.de...*
>>>
>>>>*hanson citing a Ford news release:*
>>>>
>>>>>>*California adopted a regulation that will force automakers to reduce*
>>>>>>*global warming emissions in the state by 30 percent by the 2016*
>>>>>>*model year.*
>>>>>
>>>>*hanson wrote*
>>>>
>>>>>>*So, what if that goal is not achieved? Does anyone really think that*
>>>>>>*Californians will go for bicycles, pedaling 8 hrs to work and another*
>>>>>>*8 hrs back.....ahahaha.... If there is a stop going to be put onto*
>>>>>>*new car sales in 2016.... then from then on Californians will drive*
>>>>>>*older and older cars that pollute more and more....ahahaha...*
>>>>>>*and at next election there will be initiatives to get rid of any politician*
>>>>>>*that is green.....ahahahaha.... Californians maybe nutty but they*
>>>>>>*ain't crazy lunatics....well, the green shits amongst them of are.*
>>>>
>>>>*[Dirk]*
>>>>
>>>>>>*I think the peak oil crisis will be well upon us by then, with oil at*
>>>>>>*\$100 a barrel. That should take care of it nicely.*
>>>>
>>>>*[hanson]*
>>>>*Right and wrong, Dirk. It's just a temporary crisis. Look at the history*
>>>>*of oil prices. They will not be over \$30 by 2016, give or take inflation.*
>>>>*The current \$spike is 90% due to commodity futures speculators and*
>>>>*10% due to delays in production increases because of those*
>>>>**contemporary* expansion demands from Indian & Chinese industries.*
>>>>*Oil is a money game, the biggest one there is. The oil itself is just the*
>>>>*gimmick. Hence, like in any other game, there are always ups & downs.*

>>

> [Dirk]

>

>>I doubt that China and India are going to slow economic growth over
>>the next decade, barring nuclear war. That means 10% pa for China alone.
>>And the problem is not fossil fuels in general, but cheap *oil*.

>>

>

> [hanson]

> That 10% is peanuts and digestible ****IF**** the global show for what
> you label as *oil* runs more or less smooth. The problem is and

<http://business.news.designerz.com/chinas-crude-oil-imports-in-july-up-nearly-40-pct-year-on-year.html>

"China's imports of crude oil in the first seven months rose nearly 40 percent from a year ago as the energy-hungry economy expanded at close to double-digit levels, state press reported.

In the seven months to July crude imports rose an annualised 39.5 percent to 70.63 million metric tonnes, the official Xinhua news agency reported Friday, citing figures from the General Administration of Customs.

Crude oil imports rose 39.3 percent year-on-year to 61.02 million tons in the first six months, it said.

The world's second largest oil consumer after the United States has seen oil imports soar as flagging domestic production has failed to keep up with booming economic growth and demand for gasoline in the auto market."

--

Dirk

The Consensus:-

The political party for the new millenium

<http://www.theconsensus.org>