

Re: black holes and intelligent design

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.chem/2005-02/1434.html>

From: N:dlzc D:aol T:com \ (dlzc\) (net_at_nospam.com)

Date: 02/27/05

Date: Sun, 27 Feb 2005 12:53:57 -0700

Dear Repeating Rifle:

"Repeating Rifle" <salmonegg@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:BE475CF9.33F26%salmonegg@sbcglobal.net...

> in article 1109528759.622572.167570@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com,
> cafeinst@msn.com at cafeinst@msn.com wrote on 2/27/05 10:25 AM:

>

>> *The scientific community seems to believe that black holes indeed
>> exist, yet no one has ever seen one. The belief in the existence of
>> black holes is inferred from the observed behavior of matter and light
>> outside of the locations of the alleged black holes – i.e., the
>> observed behavior can only be explained by the existence of a black
>> hole; therefore, black holes must exist.*

>

> <snip>

>

> *I have a love-hate regard to answering to such posts. While I know that
> it*

> *is pointless to try to persuade certain fundamental religionists that
> evolution is a better explanation of the facts than intelligent design
> is, I*

> *go ballistic when I see their nonsense spouted.*

>

> *We have before us, important biological and medical demonstrations of
> evolu*

> *tion at work. New strains of viruses, HIV for example, are being formed
> under evolutionary pressure. We have the same thing happening with
> cancers*

> *that become immune to chemotherapeutic chemicals. Bacteria evolve to
> overcome*

> *antibiotic chemicals they never saw in nature. Certain moth species have
> changed their color to overcome background soot. What else do these guys
> want? What kind of an observation would drive them from their
> superstitions?*

>

> *I know that I would have great difficulty trusting the theory of
> evolution*

> *if it can be demonstrated that human bones were found inside a dinosaur*

sci.chem: Re: black holes and intelligent design

> *skeleton indicating that it had eaten humans. Piltown man bones do not*
> *count.*

The problem with bacterial and viral adaptations is that they are ignorable (from a creationist view).

What is less ignorable, are changes in the human genome. The change that makes sickle cell anemia... makes the sufferer "immune" to malaria. Likewise cystic fibrosis carriers are "immune" to cholera. Additionally, analysis of the mitochondrial DNA, shows that "Eve" may have been up to 32 different women (not sure how much of this one is science yet, and how much simply another product to sell).

God seems to choose "successive approximation"... aka evolution.

David A. Smith