

Re: black holes and intelligent design

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.chem/2005-02/1453.html>

From: Mark VandeWettering (wettering_at_attbi.com)

Date: 02/28/05

Date: Sun, 27 Feb 2005 20:58:41 -0600

["Followup-To:" header set to talk.origins.]

On 2005-02-27, Glenn <glennsheldon@SPAMqwest.net> wrote:

>

> "steve_h" <steve.howarth@bigfoot.co.m> wrote in message

> news:38emopF5jo953U1@individual.net...

>>

>>

>> Glenn wrote:

>>

>>

>> > *An accident is an event that happens by chance, without a cause. Like*

> *you*

>> > *consider mutations. Maybe that's why.*

>>

>> *Accidents are unexpected or unforeseen events,*

>

> *Yes, accidents can be regarded as events. That's why I used the word.*

>

>> *but they usually turn out to have causes.*

>>

> *Sure they (accidents) do, but they either happen by chance or not by chance.*

>

> *Accidents are determined by events that occur from a range of possibilities*

> *not determined by a particular cause.*

Not only is this usage peculiar to yourself, it is also not objective, and therefore fairly useless. That's probably why scientists don't say things like "mutations occur by accident". It's vague at best, misleading almost by design, and almost certainly incorrect.

Mark

>> <http://www.google.com/search?q=%22accident+caused+by%22> (about 50200

>> matches)