

Re: Aaron Sloman's "The Irrelevance of Turing Machines to AI" article

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.cognitive/2004-08/0241.html>

From: Allan C Cybulskie (allan.c.cybulskie_at_yahoo.ca)

Date: 08/07/04

Date: Sat, 7 Aug 2004 07:45:45 -0400

"Wolf Kirchmeir" <wwolfkir@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:Xk6Qc.25597\$Jq2.1069594@news20.bellglobal.com...

> *Sergio Navega wrote:*

> >> *Again, granted that "categorisation" takes place. But what, exactly, is*

> >> *it? I submit it's behaviour.*

> >

> >

> > *And so your investigation of the subject stops. Cognitive scientists*

> > *want to develop abstract (even mathematical) models of categorization.*

> *[...]*

>

> *a) investigation begins with "categorisation is behavior." Now we have*

> *some idea of what to look for.*

It's a problem, though, because the only thing we find interesting about categorisation is how it's different from every other type of behaviour. How does calling it — everything actually — behaviour help us understand anything?