

## Re: Tautologies and Empirical Truth

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.cognitive/2004-10/0839.html>

---

**From:** Lester Zick ([lesterDELzick\\_at\\_worldnet.att.net](mailto:lesterDELzick_at_worldnet.att.net))

**Date:** 10/29/04

Date: Fri, 29 Oct 2004 15:12:39 GMT

On Thu, 28 Oct 2004 18:33:48 -0500, Albert <[alwagner@tcac.net](mailto:alwagner@tcac.net)> in comp.ai.philosophy wrote:

>Lester Zick wrote:

>> On Thu, 28 Oct 2004 11:15:44 -0500, Albert <[alwagner@tcac.net](mailto:alwagner@tcac.net)> in  
>> comp.ai.philosophy wrote:

>>

>>

>>>Lester Zick wrote:

>>><snip>

>>>

>>>>patty, you really need to take that web of belief back to the church

>>>>where you bought it and get a refund. It doesn't matter where ideas

>>>>originate. It matters where they wind up through demonstration.

>>>>

>>>>Problematic means contingent.

>>>>

>>>>Come on, Lester. You didn't even investigate her link. If you

>>>>had, then you wouldn't have replied with that stupid remark

>>>>above. Here, try again:

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>Back in the Prince Albert mode, I see.

>>>>

>>>>Why should I investigate patty's link?

>>>>

>>>>

>>>><http://www.ditext.com/quine/quine.html>

>>>>

>>>>You claim to hate philosophers, then you attempt to philosophize

>>>>without reading the works of people smarter than you and millenia

>>>>older than you. What arrogance.

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>I have to confess I've never actually met a philosopher millenia older

>>>>than myself, but I promise not to hold their age against them.

>>>>

>>>>Your failed attempt at 'cuteness' just reveals your poor reading

sci.cognitive: Re: Tautologies and Empirical Truth

>*comprehension. Why would you expect to meet a 3000 year old man?*

Because you say so?