

# Re: Darwin, Evolution, the Animal Kingdom, and Man

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.cognitive/2004-12/0071.html>

---

**From:** Albert (*albertwagner\_at\_cox.net*)

**Date:** 12/03/04

Date: Thu, 02 Dec 2004 23:51:49 -0600

Lester Zick wrote:

<snip>

- > *How many instances of the empirical control and prediction of behavior*
- > *are there in the history of EAB which do not involve animal training?*
- > *If the answer is none or nearly none, it becomes intuitively obvious*
- > *to the casual observer what the science in EAB amounts to, that it*
- > *only refers to the control and prediction of training regimens and*
- > *not behavior in general. This is why behaviorists in general and you*
- > *in particular have to campaign so diligently with your naturalized*
- > *nonsense to obscure the fact that the only thing you can control and*
- > *predict are animal training regimens and that EAB would be more*
- > *appropriately referred to as EAT.*

Very good, Lester. Very good. But I'm afraid that it is David's life work, his identity. David, as he has learned to love himself, would die were he to admit what you point out.

--

"Don't you see that the whole aim of Newspeak is to narrow the range of thought? In the end we shall make thoughtcrime literally impossible, because there will be no words in which to express it."

-- George Orwell as Syme in "1984"