

Re: Epistemology 201: The Science of Science

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.cognitive/2005-02/1603.html>

From: Lester Zick (lesterDELzick_at_worldnet.att.net)

Date: 02/14/05

Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2005 23:28:22 GMT

On Mon, 14 Feb 2005 16:02:06 -0600, Albert <albertwagner@cox.net> in comp.ai.philosophy wrote:

>Lester Zick wrote:

><snip>

>>>Those rules that we have invented and refer to as the natural
>>>laws have for us a high degree of certainty because empirical
>>>evidence seems to support them. However, our invented rules that
>>>we use to make sense of empirical evidence, may, at any time, be
>>>falsified by new empirical evidence. We may still be in the Matrix.

>>

>>

>> Technically a large amount of empirical evidence just doesn't
>> invalidate them. The matrix is our heads. We're always inside.

><snip>

>

>Give that man a cigar.

Thanks, Albert. It's been a long day.

Regards – Lester